arXiv:1508.03207v3 [hep-th] 8 Jul 2016

Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry and non-relativistic conformal hydrodynamics

Arpita Mitra

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake City, Kolkata -700 098, India

`arpita12t@bose.res.in`

Abstract

Motivated by the role of scale invariance in condensed matter physics and recent applications of non-relativistic field theories on curved backgrounds, we derive the general curved background minimally coupled to the fields invariant under both Galilean and scale transformations. Through the identification of fields introduced while localizing the space-time symmetries of a non-relativistic field theoretic model, we derive the scale invariant extension of the Newton-Cartan geometry, which we have called the Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan background. Inspired by the application of Weyl invariant backgrounds in the description of relativistic conformal fluids, we first formulate the framework for describing non-relativistic conformal fluids on the Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan background. The specific case of an incompressible ideal fluid in its rest frame on this background is considered, and key differences with known relativistic results are commented on. We then consider the implications of our results in the low energy description of Quantum Hall fluids. Specifically, we find that the gauge fields for scale transformations lead to corrections in the Wen-Zee and Berry phase terms of the low energy effective action of Hall fluids.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Non-relativistic gravitational background : Newton-Cartan geometry **6**

3 Localization of the Galilean and the scale symmetry **7**

4 Non-relativistic spatial diffeomorphism invariance **12**

5 Geometrical interpretation : Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry **14**

6 Construction of non-relativistic conformal fluid dynamics **20**

6.1 Fluid dynamics on the Newton-Cartan background 20

6.2 Fluid dynamics on Weyl-extended Newton-Cartan background 24

7 Contributions of scale symmetry to the Quantum Hall Effect 26

8 Conclusion 31

9 Acknowledgments 32

A Miscellaneous calculations 32

B Schouten tensor of the Newton-Cartan background 33

1 Introduction

The coupling of non-relativistic field theories to curved backgrounds was initially investigated with the motivation of establishing a covariant framework. In recent years, such minimally coupled theories have gained a renewed importance due to its major applications in the field of condensed matter physics, such as in the descriptions of the fractional quantum Hall effect, trapped electron gas, and various transport phenomena, to name a few [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Non-relativistic diffeomorphism has certain distinct features in contrast to the usual relativistic diffeomorphism invariance which is so fundamental in understanding the metric formulation of Einstein gravity. For Einstein gravity, the vielbein formulation is related directly with the metric formulation because the spacetime manifold is endowed with a nondegenerate metric. Unlike this in the non-relativistic context due to the absolute nature of time, the spacetime manifold possesses two degenerate metrics, a contravariant spatial metric and a covariant temporal metric, orthogonal to one another. In this context it is useful to recall that, a covariant geometrical formulation of Newtonian gravity was first constructed by Elie Cartan [7] and is well known as Newton-Cartan geometry in the literature. This formulation helps in appreciating Newtonian gravity as a non-relativistic limit of general relativity. However, the current requirement is a formulation based on the vielbeins which will be an analog of the Cartan formulation of Einsteins gravity. One may think that a suitable algorithm may be obtained from relativistic theories by contraction. However, the requirement of spatial diffeomorphism in FQHE or any planar system is difficult to obtain by taking a non-relativistic limit of some appropriate relativistic theory. Moreover, sometimes such non - relativistic limits have been found to be problematic [4].

A way out follows from the well known procedure for directly deriving relativistic matter theories minimally coupled to curved backgrounds, namely through the localization of spacetime symmetries in the flat spacetime field theory [8]. One starts with a matter theory invariant under global Poincare transformations which does not remain invariant when the parameters of the Poincare transformations become functions of spacetime. To modify the matter theory so that it becomes invariant under the local Poincare transformations, compensating fields are introduced in the process by defining covariant derivatives [9]. A very important aspect of this approach is the correspondence of these new fields with the vierbeins and spin-connection in Riemann-

Cartan spacetime. Following the spirit of this procedure, a general prescription to attain non-relativistic diffeomorphism invariance was proposed in our previous work [10, 11], wherein the localization of the Galilean symmetry for field theories was carried out. The Newton-Cartan spacetime was found to be the most general Galilean invariant curved background through this procedure[12]. The present work seeks to extend this formalism to further include scale transformations.

We will now briefly consider the main approaches which have been used in the derivation of minimal coupling to, and the geometry of, curved non-relativistic backgrounds. This will serve to place our work in a clear context with respect to these approaches. The minimal gravitational coupling of the Newtonian theory had been initially considered in [13, 14], whose results have been cast in a more unified approach recently in [6]. It gained renewed attention in [1] where the minimal coupling of non-relativistic particles (electrons) to the external gauge field and the metric were determined by using principles of effective field theory. The invariance of the derived action under time dependent diffeomorphisms had been restored by demanding non-canonical transformations of the spatial external gauge fields, which leads to problems when considering the flat space limit [10, 15]. In this limit, the flat space Galilean transformations are restored through a specific assumption, involving a particular relation between the gauge parameter and the boost parameter. In contrast, the flat limit can easily be obtained in our field theoretic approach [10].

Other approaches have been put forward to determine the nature of curved non-relativistic backgrounds directly from the consideration of non-relativistic symmetries. One of these involves the derivation of the background geometry with appropriate metric and curvature tensors, by gauging the centrally extended Galilean algebra (Bargmann algebra) [16]. The conformal extension of this procedure has been carried out in [17]. However, it should be stressed that this is a strictly algebraic approach without reference to any dynamical content of the underlying theory. In addition, the approach necessarily requires the imposition of curvature constraints in order to derive the connection, which formally results in a torsionless theory. Torsion is eventually accounted for in [17] by defining it as the antisymmetric piece of a metric compatible and boost invariant connection, with the further definition of the dilatation gauge field in terms of temporal one-form and its generalized inverse. This torsion tensor has important applications in the field of non-relativistic holography [18, 19]. Yet another approach, which is very closely related to the gauging approach mentioned above is the coset construction [20, 21]. Given a particular symmetry group, and through a prudent choice of a subgroup within it, a coset can be defined which determines the background geometry invariant under the symmetry group. The main feature of this approach is that different choices of the subgroup can lead to several possible realizations of non-relativistic curved backgrounds [20]. The general spacetime connection follows directly from the construction of the Maurer-Cartan form within the coset formalism.

Central to the success of these approaches, as well as our own, are the presence of vielbeins. The coset construction in being used for any non-local symmetry group necessarily involves the use of vielbeins. The same holds true for gauging the algebra directly as in [17], and the localization of symmetries in our previous work [10, 12]. Through their involvement, the end result is guaranteed to be manifestly covariant and independent of any specific choice of coordinates. In the context of the coset construction, this statement corresponds to a gauge fixing choice of the parameters [20]. Thus, in dealing with the generators of Galilean and scale transformations in accordance with our procedure, the involvement of vielbeins in the resultant action defined on the curved background is manifestly covariant, and invariant under local Galilean and scale transformations. Localizing the symmetries via the procedure in this paper has two specific advantages. The first is that it determines the minimal coupling of non-relativistic field theories to the corresponding curved background by its direct involvement from the onset. The second feature is that it reveals that the vielbeins and the relations between them, as well as the form of the connection, are as much a result of the generators being considered as they are of the dependence on the coordinates used at the time of localization. In particular, bearing the non-relativistic nature of absolute time, the parameters of temporal transformations depend only on time, and not space. This in turn affects which vielbeins do result from the procedure, and serves to elucidate the relation between the vielbeins and the localization of the parameters one begins with.

The main motivation of this paper is to include the anisotropic scale transformation in the localization procedure.Prior to this work, certain non-relativistic conformal backgrounds have been considered and constructed in [21, 22, 17]. However, the special case of scale invariant fields minimally coupled to curved backgrounds appears to have attracted limited attention despite its known significance in flat space effective field theory descriptions of the fractional quantum hall effect [23] and the Aharanov Bohm effect [24]. In general, Hall fluids are incompressible in nature [25, 26] and a well known fact is that incompressible non-relativistic fluids are invariant under scale transformations only, and not under the special conformal transformation [27]. Thus, while scale invariance is a symmetry of all conformal fluids, the full conformal group need not be. Scaling also plays an important role in determining temperature dependence of transport coefficients in the hydrodynamic description of condensed matter systems with ordinary critical points [28]. Motivated by these observations the present work is being undertaken with the goal of investigating scale invariant non-relativistic field theories on curved backgrounds.

In the relativistic context, the localization of the scale and Poincare transformations results in the identification of the Weyl-Cartan geometry [9]. This geometry is characterized by a rescaled Riemann-Cartan metric, and a connection which includes the scale factors. While the Riemann tensor is not invariant under scale transformations of the metric, a scale invariant Weyl tensor can be constructed out of the Riemann and Ricci tensors. In light of these known relativistic results, the inclusion of scale symmetry in the localization procedure for non-relativistic field theories should give the Weyl extension of Newton-Cartan geometry. The Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry is thus expected to apply to both metrics individually, while respecting the properties of the Newton-Cartan structure described earlier. In this paper, this has been explicitly demonstrated to be the case.

We also have in mind the application of our formalism in the description of non-relativistic conformal fluids on curved backgrounds. In this context, we note that the fluid gravity correspondence, for non-relativistic fluids, has been considered in [29] using the well-known light cone reduction formalism. Non-relativistic fluids on the Newton-Cartan background have been discussed in [13, 30, 31]. However, our interest in scale invariance regarding fluids stems from its known significance in the relativistic case. In the case of relativistic conformal fluids, the scale factor acquires an expression in terms of expansion and acceleration. The covariant expression for the entropy current can be derived for these fluids on curved backgrounds [32]. The thermodynamic description of these fluids, in effect, is related to the scale factor on curved backgrounds. Inspired by these results and the aforementioned relevance of scale in the hydrodynamic descriptions of systems near criticality, we expect that understanding scale invariance in non-relativistic conformal fluids on curved backgrounds might serve a broader context.

We will also consider the implications of scale invariance of the incompressible Hall fluid. For the incompressible fractional quantum Hall (FQH) states of two-dimensional electron fluids in external magnetic fields, the quantized Hall conductivity is the most fundamental transverse response. The charge current flows perpendicular to the direction of an external electric field, and the transport is dissipationless [33]. While the Hall conductivity is a key topological property, independent of the microscopic details of the system, it does not specify the Hall fluid completely. A full characterization of the FQH fluid also requires the intrinsic orbital spin and the corresponding Hall viscosity. These quantities generate from the coupling of the Hall fluid to a curved background. The Hall viscosity is the response of the Hall fluid to an external shear deformation of the background surface, under which the Hall fluid develops a momentum density perpendicular to it. As a result, the net energy for the deformation vanishes resulting in a non-dissipative viscosity. At the level of the effective hydrodynamic theory, this coupling of the hydrodynamic gauge fields of the fluid to the spin connection is represented by the Wen-Zee term whose coefficient involves the orbital spin [34], while the usual contribution to the Hall viscosity arises from the Berry phase term, which contains the density and the temporal piece of the spin connection [35]. In considering scale transformations, we find the scale analogs of the Wen Zee and Berry phase terms, which involve the gauge field for scale transformations in place of the spin connection. While the Berry phase and Wen Zee terms provide a hall viscosity due to the antisymmetric nature of the spin connection, the gauge field for scale transformations does not possess this feature. As such, the corrections correspond to a different response function altogether, whose aspects are further discussed in the paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 and 3, the basic properties of the non-relativistic symmetries and the Newton-Cartan geometry are stated. In section 4, the localization of the Galilean and scale symmetry is described. In the following section, as an application of this localization procedure, non-relativistic spatial diffeomorphism invariance on a general curved background has been achieved. In section 6, Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry (with or without torsion) is constructed from the geometrical identification of the fields introduced during localization. Finally we develop the first order non-relativistic conformal incompressible fluid dynamics in our approach, beginning with a manifestly Weyl covariant formalism in section 7. In section 8, we discuss the contributions of scale symmetry to the quantum Hall fluid. Some useful mathematical calculations are given in appendices.

2 Non-relativistic gravitational background : Newton-Cartan geometry

The Newton-Cartan background is Cartan's spacetime formulation of the classical Newtonian theory of gravity. It is a non-relativistic manifold which contains a degenerate inverse spatial metric and a degenerate temporal 1-form satisfying the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu h^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \qquad\qquad & \nabla_\mu \tau_\nu = 0 \\ h^{\mu\nu}\tau_\mu = 0 & \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Given that $h^{\mu\nu}$ and τ_μ are degenerate, their inverses do not exist. Formally, we can define a generalized inverse for the temporal 1-form, τ^μ, such that

$$\tau^\mu \tau_\mu = 1 \tag{2.2}$$

There exists a class of τ^μ which satisfy the above relation, with respect to which we can further define a spatial metric, $h_{\mu\nu}$, that satisfies the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu}\tau^\mu &= 0 \\ \delta^\mu_\nu &= h^{\mu\lambda}h_{\lambda\nu} + \tau^\mu \tau_\nu \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$h^{\mu\lambda}h_{\lambda\nu} = P^\mu_\nu$ is the projection operator of the Newton-Cartan background. There exists a covariant derivative which is metric compatible with both the metrics. A direct consequence of this is that the resultant connection is not uniquely determined by these metrics alone. This allows the Newton-Cartan background to geometrically capture the presence of external forces [40]. With all these considerations, a linear symmetric connection which satisfies the metricity conditions given in Eq. (2.1) has a general form given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} &= \tau^\rho \partial_{(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}\Big(\partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu}\Big) + h^{\rho\lambda}K_{\lambda(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} \\ &= \Gamma'^\rho_{\nu\mu} + h^{\rho\lambda}K_{\lambda(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$\Gamma'^\rho_{\nu\mu}$ in Eq. (2.4) represents the inertial part of the connection, while the full connection $\Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}$ contains additional non-inertial forces through the term $K_{\lambda\mu}$ [14]. Given this connection, one can construct the Riemann tensor in the usual way

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]V^\lambda = R^\lambda_{\sigma\mu\nu}V^\sigma \tag{2.5}$$

For a symmetric Newton-Cartan connection, the following relations hold

$$\tau_\rho R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = 0, \quad R^\lambda_{\sigma(\mu\nu)} = 0, \quad R^\lambda_{[\sigma\mu\nu]} = 0, \quad R^{(\lambda\sigma)}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

The theory considered thus far is completely general. If in addition the Galilean connection has to possess the correct Newtonian limit of the connection of a Riemannian manifold, then the following additional condition known as *Trautman's condition* is required

$$R^\lambda{}_\sigma{}^\mu{}_\nu = R^\mu{}_\nu{}^\lambda{}_\sigma \tag{2.7}$$

This condition is equivalent to the condition that $dK = 0$ which implies that

$$K_{\lambda\mu} = 2\partial_{[\lambda}A_{\mu]} \tag{2.8}$$

where A_μ is an arbitary 1-form.

Using (2.6), (2.8) the Ricci tensor satisfies the following equation,

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 4\pi\rho\tau_\mu\tau_\nu \tag{2.9}$$

which is of course, the correct Newtonian limit of Einstein's equations. 'ρ' is the mass density which occurs in Poisson's equation. From (2.3) we can obtain the variation of $h_{\mu\nu}$ as,

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = -2h_{\rho(\mu}\tau_{\nu)}\delta\tau^\rho \tag{2.10}$$

In a similar manner the covariant derivative on $h_{\mu\nu}$ will act in the following way,

$$\nabla_\gamma h_{\mu\nu} = -2h_{\rho(\mu}\tau_{\nu)}\nabla_\gamma\tau^\rho \tag{2.11}$$

3 Localization of the Galilean and the scale symmetry

One important motivation of this paper is to couple non-relativistic field theories, which are invariant under Galilean and anisotropic scale transformations, to curved backgrounds. This can be achieved through the localization of the non-relativistic symmetries. The localization of the Galilean symmetry for a non-relativistic field theoretic model was discussed in detail in [10, 15]. This procedure will be extended in this section to in addition include scale transformations.

The procedure applies to any action invariant under the (global) Galilean (3.2) and scale transformations (3.4) in flat space.

$$S = \int dtd^3x\mathcal{L}\left(\phi, \partial_t\phi, \partial_k\phi\right) \tag{3.1}$$

where the index 't' and '$k = 1, 2, 3$' denote time and spatial coordinates respectively. In covariant notation these can be represented collectively by μ.

In non-relativistic systems the Galilean symmetry implies invariance under the Galilean transformation. Due to the absolute nature of Newtonian time, space and time has to be treated separately. The Galilean transformation on time is a translation, and on spatial coordinates is a composition of spatial translation, rotation and boost. Explicitly

$$t \to t - \epsilon, \qquad x^i \to x^i + \epsilon^i + \omega^i{}_j x^j - v^i t = x^i + \eta^i - v^i t \tag{3.2}$$

where $\eta^i = \epsilon^i + \omega^i{}_j x^j$, and ω^{ij} is antisymmetric. The parameters ϵ, ϵ^i, ω^{ij} and v^i correspond to time translation, space translation, spatial rotation and boost respectively. For global transformations, these parameters are constant.

In non-relativistic systems, time gets rescaled 'z' times as compared to the space coordinates [37], where 'z' is called the dynamical critical exponent. This is well known as 'Lifshitz scaling' [1] and this scaling plays an important role in strongly coupled systems, which have been investigated holographically and also found to be relevant in the description of strange metals[38]. The expression of the scale transformations in time and space coordinates, are given by,

$$t' = e^{zs}t, \quad x^{i'} = e^{s}x^{i} \tag{3.3}$$

where 's' is the parameter of the scale transformation. The infinitesimal transformation takes the following form,

$$x^{i} \rightarrow x^{i} + sx^{i}, \quad t \rightarrow t + zst \tag{3.4}$$

Under any general coordinate transformation $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu}$ the action (3.1) changes by

$$\Delta S = \int dtd^{3}x \; \Delta\mathcal{L} = \int dtd^{3}x \; [\delta_{0}\mathcal{L} + \xi^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{L} + \partial_{\mu}\xi^{\mu}\mathcal{L}] \tag{3.5}$$

Here δ_0 is the form variation given by $\delta_0\phi = \phi'(\mathbf{r}, t) - \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$. For invariance we require that $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ will either vanish or be a total derivative. When $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ vanishes, ξ^{μ} corresponds to a symmetry generator of the Lagrangian.

To elaborate on the steps of this procedure, we will consider the complex Schrödinger field theory as a specific example, whose action is given by

$$S = \int dt \int d^{3}x \left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\phi^{*}\partial_{t}\phi - \phi\partial_{t}\phi^{*}\right) - \frac{1}{2m}\partial_{k}\phi^{*}\partial_{k}\phi\right] \tag{3.6}$$

This theory is invariant under Galilean transformations and Eq. (3.4), when $z = 2$. Under the scale transformation in $(3+1)$d, ϕ must vary as

$$\phi'(x') = e^{-\frac{3}{2}s}\phi(x)$$

to retain the scale invariance of the theory.

The first step of the procedure involves determining the conditions under which the action (3.6) is invariant under the Galilean and scale transformations. From Eq. (3.2) and (3.4) the infinitesimal coordinate transformation can be written as,

$$t \rightarrow t - \epsilon + 2st, \quad x^{i} \rightarrow x^{i} + \eta^{i} - v^{i}t + sx^{i} \tag{3.7}$$

Eq. (3.7) can be formally written as,

$$x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu} \tag{3.8}$$

---

[1] Another non-relativistic conformal extension known in the literature is that of the Galilean Conformal algebra [39]. The generator for non-relativistic scaling in GCA is,

$$D = -(x^{i}\partial_{i} + t\partial_{t})$$

In GCA, space and time scale uniformly like the relativistic case and the number of generators are the same as those of the relativistic conformal group.

where $\xi^0 = -\epsilon + 2st$, $\xi^i = \eta^i - v^i t + sx^i$. Note that ξ^μ can not be treated as independent diffeomorphisms at this stage. The equation (3.8) is just a shorthand way of writing the transformations we will be interested in. In particular, the choice of transformation parameters in (3.7) correspond to those of the generators of Galilean and scale transformations. Throughout the section we will be considering these generators specifically, which is necessary for the localization procedure.

While $\partial_\mu \xi^\mu = 0$ for the global Galilean transformations, it is not so for global scale transformations. From Eq. (3.7), we see that $\partial_\mu \xi^\mu = 5s$. Thus,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \delta_0\mathcal{L} + \xi^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L} + \partial_\mu \xi^\mu \mathcal{L} = 0$$

provided the field and its derivatives vary in the following manner,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0\phi &= -\xi^0\partial_t\phi - \xi^i\partial_i\phi - imv^i x_i\phi - \frac{3}{2}s\phi \\
\delta_0\partial_k\phi &= -\xi^0\partial_t(\partial_k\phi) - \xi^i\partial_i(\partial_k\phi) - imv^i\partial_k(x_i\phi) + \omega_k{}^m\partial_m\phi - \frac{3}{2}s\partial_k\phi - s\partial_k\phi \\
\delta_0\partial_t\phi &= -\xi^0\partial_t(\partial_t\phi) - \xi^i\partial_i(\partial_t\phi) - imv^i x_i\partial_t\phi + v^i\partial_i\phi - \frac{3}{2}s\partial_t\phi - 2s\partial_t\phi
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The '$imv^i x_i$' term is important in Eq. (3.9) to retain the invariance of the Schrodinger action under the spatial boost [10]. In this paper, the generators of Galilean transformations are taken to be those of the centrally extended Galilean group, and mass is treated as a parameter throughout.

At this stage, the action (3.6) is invariant under global transformations. When we localize Eq. (3.7), the transformation parameters 'ϵ, ϵ^i, ω^{ij}, v^i' and 's' are functions of space and time. Keeping in mind the nature of non-relativistic spacetime, i.e. absolute time and relative space, the time transformations may be generalised as some function of time whereas spatial transformations are functions of both time and space. Hence, the most general local transformations are given by,

$$t \to t - \epsilon(t) + \lambda(t)t, \qquad x^i \to x^i + \epsilon^i(x,t) + \omega^i{}_j(x,t)x^j - v^i(x,t)t + s(x,t)x^i \tag{3.10}$$

Note that at the time of local scale transformation, the magnitude of the time rescaling parameter always has to be twice the magnitude of the space rescaling parameter to keep the Schrödinger action invariant. The action which was invariant under global transformations is clearly no longer invariant under the local ones. This follows not only from $\partial_\mu \xi^\mu \neq 0$, but also from the derivatives of ϕ not varying covariantly as in (3.9). To retain the invariance, the next step involves the introduction of additional fields which are defined through 'gauge covariant derivatives' [10]. We take the covariant derivatives as,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k\phi &= \partial_k\phi + iB_k\phi + iC_k\phi \\
D_t\phi &= \partial_t\phi + iB_t\phi + iC_t\phi
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The 'B' fields were introduced in earlier work [10] to incorporate the change due to localization of the Galilean transformation. Similarly 'C' fields are introduced in (3.11) to account for scale transformations. The explicit structure of gauge fields 'B' and 'C' are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &= B_k^{ab}\lambda_{ab} + B_k^{a0}\lambda_a \\ B_t &= B_t^{ab}\lambda_{ab} + B_t^{a0}\lambda_a \\ C_\mu &= Db_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where λ_{ab} and λ_a are respectively the generators of rotations and Galilean boosts, and 'D' is the dilatation generator. The expression for the generator of the Galileo boost is given by $\lambda_a = mx_a$. Since the fields being dealt with are scalars, it follows that B_k^{ab} and B_t^{ab} could be ignored safely in what follows. However an important exception occurs in two space dimensions where the rotation generator is a scalar. This is crucial in the study of the coupling of a scalar field with the spin connection in the study of FQHE as we will see.

It can be noted that these definitions are insufficient, as $D_k\phi$ and $D_t\phi$ do not vary as in (3.9). In order to remedy this, we proceed in the following way. First, local spatial coordinates 'x^a' (a =1, 2, 3) are introduced, which will also help in providing a geometrical framework to the local Galilean and scale transformations. We can then define the covariant derivatives with respect to these local coordinates in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_0\phi &= {\Sigma_0}^0 D_t\phi + {\Sigma_0}^k D_k\phi \\ \tilde{D}_a\phi &= {\Sigma_a}^k D_k\phi \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where the Σ's are additional fields introduced in order to define the new covariant derivatives. Note that, the local time will be same as the global one due to the absolute nature of Newtonian time. It can now be demonstrated that the derivatives defined in Eq. (3.13) do transform covariantly as in (3.9). For $\tilde{D}_a\phi$ we have the following variation,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0\tilde{D}_a\phi = &-\xi^0\partial_t(\tilde{D}_a\phi) - \xi^i\partial_i(\tilde{D}_a\phi) - imv^ix_i(\tilde{D}_a\phi) - {\omega^b}_a\tilde{D}_b\phi - imv_a\phi \\ &- \left(\frac{3s+\lambda}{2}\right)\tilde{D}_a\phi \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

provided the fields B_k, C_k and ${\Sigma_a}^k$ vary according to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0B_k &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\dot{B}_k - \left(\eta^i - tv^i + sx^i\right)\partial_iB_k - \partial_k\left(\eta^i - tv^i + sx^i\right)B_i + m\partial_kv^ix_i + m\left(v_k - {\Lambda_k}^a v_a\right) \\ &= -\xi^\mu\partial_\mu B_k - \partial_k\xi^\mu B_\mu + m\partial_kv^ix_i + m(v_k - {\Lambda_k}^a v_a) \\ \delta_0C_k &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\partial_tC_k - (\eta^i - v^it + sx^i)\partial_iC_k - \partial_k(\eta^i - v^it + sx^i)C_i + \frac{1}{2}\partial_ks \\ &= -\xi^\mu\partial_\mu C_k - \partial_k\xi^\mu C_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_ks \\ \delta_0{\Sigma_a}^k &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\partial_t{\Sigma_a}^k - (\eta^i - v^it + sx^i)\partial_i{\Sigma_a}^k + \partial_i(\eta^k - v^kt){\Sigma_a}^i + \partial_isx^k{\Sigma_a}^i - {\omega^b}_a{\Sigma_b}^k \\ &= -\xi^\mu\partial_\mu{\Sigma_a}^k + \partial_i\xi^k{\Sigma_a}^i - s{\Sigma_a}^k - {\omega^b}_a{\Sigma_b}^k \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Likewise, the variation of the temporal covariant derivative, $\tilde{D}_0\phi$, satisfies

$$\delta_0\tilde{D}_0\phi = \xi^0\partial_t(\tilde{D}_0\phi) - \xi^i\partial_i(\tilde{D}_0\phi) - imv^ix_i(\tilde{D}_0\phi) + v^b\tilde{D}_b\phi - \left(\frac{s+3\lambda}{2}\right)\tilde{D}_0\phi \tag{3.16}$$

provided the variations of $B_t, C_t, {\Sigma_0}^0$ and ${\Sigma_0}^k$ satisfy,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0 B_t &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\dot{B}_t - (\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)\partial_i B_t - \partial_t(-\epsilon + \lambda t)B_t - \partial_t(\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)B_i \\
&\quad + m\Psi^k {\Lambda_k}^a v_a + m\dot{v}^i x_i \\
&= -\xi^\mu \partial_\mu B_t - \partial_t \xi^\mu B_\mu + m\Psi^k {\Lambda_k}^a v_a + m\dot{v}^i x_i \\
\delta_0 C_t &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\partial_t C_t - (\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)\partial_i C_t + \partial_t(\epsilon - \lambda t)C_t - \partial_t(\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)C_i + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(s + \lambda) \\
&= -\xi^\mu \partial_\mu C_t - \partial_t \xi^\mu C_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(s + \lambda) \\
\delta_0 {\Sigma_0}^0 &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\partial_t {\Sigma_0}^0 - (\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)\partial_i {\Sigma_0}^0 - \partial_t \epsilon {\Sigma_0}^0 + t\partial_t \lambda {\Sigma_0}^0 \\
&= -\xi^\mu \partial_\mu {\Sigma_0}^0 + \partial_t \xi^0 {\Sigma_0}^0 \\
\delta_0 {\Sigma_0}^k &= (\epsilon - \lambda t)\partial_t {\Sigma_0}^k - (\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)\partial_i {\Sigma_0}^k + \partial_t(\eta^k - v^k t + sx^k){\Sigma_0}^0 + \partial_i(\eta^k - v^k t){\Sigma_0}^i \\
&\quad + \partial_i s x^k {\Sigma_0}^i + (s - \lambda){\Sigma_0}^k + v^b {\Sigma_b}^k \\
&= -\xi^\mu \partial_\mu {\Sigma_0}^k + \partial_\mu \xi^k {\Sigma_0}^\mu - \lambda {\Sigma_0}^k + v^b {\Sigma_b}^k
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

The inverse of the 'Σ' fields are defined as,

$$ {\Sigma_\alpha}^\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^\alpha = \delta^\mu_\nu, \qquad {\Sigma_\alpha}^\mu {\Lambda_\mu}^\beta = \delta^\beta_\alpha \tag{3.18}$$

We can now replace the partial derivatives in the action (3.1) with these local covariant derivatives to give,

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\phi, \partial_t\phi, \partial_k\phi\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'\left(\phi, \tilde{D}_0\phi, \tilde{D}_a\phi\right)$$

However, this '$\mathcal{L}'$' is not invariant under the local Galilean and scale transformations as it does not obey

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}' = \delta_0\mathcal{L}' + \xi^\mu\partial_\mu\mathcal{L}' + \partial_\mu\xi^\mu\mathcal{L}' = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

We remember that the factor $\partial_\mu\xi^\mu$ arises from the Jacobian of the coordinate transformations. The invariance of the action thus requires that the change in the measure should also be accounted for. Therefore, the Lagrangian needs to be modified to

$$\mathcal{L} = \Lambda\mathcal{L}' \tag{3.20}$$

To retain the invariance of the action, 'Λ' has to satisfy,

$$\delta_0\Lambda + \xi^\mu\partial_\mu\Lambda = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

The appropriate form of 'Λ' is found to be,

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{{\Sigma_0}^0} det {\Lambda_k}^a = det {\Lambda_\mu}^\alpha \tag{3.22}$$

which is the Jacobian for the Galilean and scale transformations.

The localization procedure is thus completed by replacing the partial derivatives with the local covariant derivatives, and in addition considering the change in the measure for the action

(3.6). The whole procedure has led to the derivation of the following action, which is invariant under local Galilean and scale transformations

$$S = \int dt d^3x \Lambda \mathcal{L}\left(\phi, \tilde{D}_0\phi, \tilde{D}_a\phi\right) \tag{3.23}$$

For the Schrodinger action (3.6), the localized form is given by

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{\Sigma_0{}^0} det\Lambda_k{}^a\right)\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\phi^*\tilde{D}_0\phi - \phi\tilde{D}_0\phi^*\right) - \frac{1}{2m}\tilde{D}_a\phi^*\tilde{D}_a\phi\right]. \tag{3.24}$$

This action (3.24) is invariant under both the Galilean and scale transformations. We also note that unlike in relativistic theories, the *mass* is not the coefficient of the linear term in the potential here, but enters as a passive parameter in the kinetic term since non-relativistic theories hold in the regime where the energies being dealt with are far less than the (rest) mass. As such, massive scale invariant non-relativistic theories can, and do exist. The significance of the localizing procedure lies in its ability to describe the most general geometrical framework consistent with the symmetries. This aspect is the focus of the next section.

4 Non-relativistic spatial diffeomorphism invariance

Localizing the Galilean symmetry provides non-relativistic spatial diffeomorphism invariance. At this stage it is worth checking whether the additional localization of the scale transformation for a non-relativistic field theoretic model preserves NRDI or not. To demonstrate that (3.24) corresponds to a matter field coupled to a curved background, we need the spatial metric to be manifest in the action. It is instructive to recall a property of differential manifolds equipped with a metric, namely that the determinant of the metric tensor is equivalent to the square of the jacobian. This property is reflected in the invariant measure in the relativistic context, which is given by $\sqrt{|g|}d^nx$, where $|g|$ is the (positive) determinant of the metric. In (3.24) the invariant measure is given by (3.22), which suggests that the 'Σ' and 'Λ' fields are related with the metric being sought, if (3.24) is to be a description of the field theory on a curved background. In the following subsections, it will be demonstrated that this is indeed the case. The additional fields introduced at the time of the localization procedure can be geometrically realized as observables of the 'Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan' geometry (with or without torsion), whose specific combinations lend themselves to the description of geometric objects satisfying all the transformation properties of a metric. In [12], the background was seen to be that of the Newton-Cartan geometry, thereby establishing that this is the most general curved background consistent with spatial non-relativistic symmetries, excluding scale transformations. The following subsections will systematically establish that the resultant background for (3.24) is the 'Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan' geometry, which apart from having the non-degenerate metrics of the Newton-Cartan background, is invariant under Weyl transformations.

Non-relativistic spatial diffeomorphism invariance can be derived from (3.24) by requiring that the change in time coordinate vanishes. This can be achieved by either assuming the magnitude of ϵ and λ be zero, or by letting $\epsilon = \lambda t$. The former assumption will be implemented, as the latter implies a connection between time translation and scaling parameter which is not desirable. Following this assumption, the local Galilean plus scale transformation is modified to,

$$x^i \longrightarrow x^i + \eta^i(x,t) - v^i(x,t)t + s(x,t)x^i \tag{4.1}$$

From the first of the set of transformations (3.17) it is evident that when ϵ and λ are vanishing, ${\Sigma_0}^0 =$ constant. For simplicity, we will set ${\Sigma_0}^0 = 1$. Following the same prescription stated in [10], we now introduce the following definition of the spatial 'metric tensor'

$$h_{ij} = \delta_{cd}{\Lambda^c}_i{\Lambda^d}_j \tag{4.2}$$

The variation of '${\Lambda^a}_k$' can be calculated using (3.15) and (3.18).

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0{\Lambda^a}_k =&(\epsilon - \lambda t)\partial_t{\Lambda^a}_k - (\eta^i - v^i t + sx^i)\partial_i{\Lambda^a}_k - {\Lambda^a}_l\partial_k(\eta^l - tv^l) - \partial_k s x^i{\Lambda^a}_i + {\omega^a}_c{\Lambda^c}_k \\ =& -\xi^\mu\partial_\mu{\Lambda^a}_k - {\Lambda^a}_l\partial_k\xi^l + s{\Lambda^a}_k + {\omega^a}_c{\Lambda^c}_k\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Using the transformation relation of ${\Lambda^a}_k$ from (4.3), the variation of h_{ij} can easily be calculated.

$$\delta_0 h_{ij} = -\xi^k\partial_k h_{ij} - h_{ik}\partial_j\xi^k - h_{kj}\partial_i\xi^k + 2sh_{ij} \tag{4.4}$$

The transformation relation (4.4) clearly demonstrates and confirms that (4.2) is an appropriate definition for the rescaled metric of a non-relativistic, diffeomorphism invariant, 3-dimensional curved space and '${\Lambda^a}_i$' should be treated as inverse spatial vierbein. Corresponding to (4.2) the inverse metric can be defined as,

$$h^{kl} = \delta^{ab}{\Sigma_a}^k{\Sigma_b}^l \tag{4.5}$$

Its variation can be shown to satisfy

$$\delta_0 h^{kl} = -\xi^i\partial_i h^{kl} + h^{il}\partial_i\xi^k + h^{ki}\partial_i\xi^l - 2sh^{kl} \tag{4.6}$$

Following the definition (4.2), it can be observed that the change in measure in the previous section can be written as $\Lambda = \sqrt{h}$, where 'h' is the determinant of h_{ij}. Using this expression in (3.24), the action takes the following form

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x\sqrt{h}\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\phi^*\tilde{D}_t\phi - \phi\tilde{D}_t\phi^*\right) - \frac{1}{2m}\tilde{D}_a\phi^*\tilde{D}_a\phi\right] \tag{4.7}$$

This can be further simplified by using (3.13), to give

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x\sqrt{h}\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\phi^*\tilde{D}_t\phi - \phi\tilde{D}_t\phi^*\right) - \frac{1}{2m}h^{kl}D_k\phi^*D_l\phi\right] \tag{4.8}$$

In the next section this action (4.8) will be represented in a fully covariant notation (in global coordinates). (4.8) can be interpreted as that of a massive Schrödinger complex scalar field with both the Galilean and scale symmetries, coupled to a non-relativistic curved background. In the relativistic context, scale invariant theories are massless in general. However it is evident from

Eq. (4.8) that the scale invariant theories in non-relativistic case can contain *mass* as a *passive parameter*, which has *no scaling dimension* [41]. The equations for the variation of the spatial metric and its inverse, provided in (4.4) and (4.5), contains an additional term that accounts for the Weyl rescaling of the metric, which is a manifestation of the scale transformation of the coordinates. The description of the curved background is as yet incomplete, in that the explicit form of the connection involved in the covariant derivatives has not yet been derived. The next subsection provides a specification, thereby completing the description of the Weyl-rescaled Newton Cartan geometry.

5 Geometrical interpretation : Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry

One major application of the localization procedure in [10] was the construction of Newton-Cartan geometry through a specific identification of the fields, which was discussed in detail in [12]. A four dimensional manifold was defined with two coordinate systems, local and global, such that at every global coordinate point there is a local coordinate system. The previously introduced field, ${\Sigma_\alpha}^\mu$, can be interpreted as the vierbein which maps the global and local frames. It was demonstrated in [12] that the 4-d manifold endowed with ${\Sigma_\alpha}^\mu$ and its inverse ${\Lambda_\mu}^\alpha$ had the features of the Newton-Cartan geometry.

As we have seen, the additional inclusion of scale invariance has led to a different result following localization. First, the transformation properties of the additional fields that were introduced at the time of localization of the Galilean symmetry were modified. Second, the localization procedure brought in additional gauge fields that were required in order to render the action invariant. The gauge fields reduce to those found in the localization of Galilean symmetry when the scale parameters $s, \lambda \to 0$. We thus expect on account of the different fields introduced in the localization procedure, each with their own scaling dimension, to lead to a different geometry upon identifying the vierbeins of the manifold. However, this geometric structure should reduce to the Newton-Cartan geometry in the limit of vanishing scale parameters.

Identifying ${\Sigma_a}^\mu$ as the vierbein fields, the inverse spatial metric can be defined as,

$$h^{\mu\nu} = {\Sigma_a}^\mu {\Sigma_b}^\nu \delta^{ab} \tag{5.1}$$

the spatial component of which (h^{ij}) was already defined in previous section. The temporal one-form can also be defined in terms of the inverse vierbein field ${\Lambda_\mu}^0$.

$$\tau_\mu = {\Lambda_\mu}^0 \quad ({\Lambda_k}^0 = 0, {\Lambda_0}^0 \neq 0) \tag{5.2}$$

With these definitions, (3.15,3.17) and (3.18) in addition leads to the following variations of

$h^{\mu\nu}$ and τ_μ

$$\delta_0 h^{\mu\nu} = -\xi^\rho \partial_\rho h^{\mu\nu} + h^{\rho\nu}\partial_\rho \xi^\mu + h^{\mu\rho}\partial_\rho \xi^\nu - 2s h^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\delta_0 \tau_\mu = -\tau_\mu \partial_0 \xi^0 - \xi^0 \partial_0 \tau_\mu + \lambda \tau_\mu \tag{5.3}$$

To obtain a full geometric structure the connection should be introduced following the metric. Like any general gauge theory, the connection can be incorporated by making use of the vierbein postulate, which will also help to explore the metricity condition for this geometry. The vierbein postulate for the vierbein Λ_ν^α is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^0 &= \partial_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^0 - \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} {\Lambda_\rho}^0 + {B^0}_{\mu\beta} {\Lambda_\nu}^\beta + 2b_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^0 = 0 \\ \tilde{\nabla}_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^a &= \partial_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^a - \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} {\Lambda_\rho}^a + {B^a}_{\mu\beta} {\Lambda_\nu}^\beta + b_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^a = 0\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

For the temporal part we find,

$$\partial_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^0 - \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} {\Lambda_\rho}^0 = -2b_\mu {\Lambda_\nu}^0 \tag{5.5}$$

using the fact that ${B^0}_{\mu\beta}$ vanishes for Galilean transformations. Thus, (5.5) directly leads to the expression for the action of the covariant derivative on τ_ν,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tau_\nu = -2b_\mu \tau_\nu \tag{5.6}$$

From the spatial part of (5.4) the action of covariant derivative on the spatial inverse metric is found to be the following,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu h^{\rho\sigma} = \partial_\mu h^{\rho\sigma} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} h^{\nu\sigma} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\nu\mu} h^{\nu\rho} = 2b_\mu h^{\rho\sigma}. \tag{5.7}$$

The explicit calculation leading to the derivation of 5.7 has been provided in Appendix A.

Taking the limit $b_\mu \to 0$ in (5.6) and (5.7), results in the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu h^{\rho\sigma} &= 0 \\ \nabla_\mu \tau_\nu &= 0\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

which are the well known metricity conditions for Newton-Cartan geometry. But for the scale extension of the Newton-Cartan geometry the metricity conditions does not hold which is evident from the equations (5.6, 5.7). That non-metricity results from the localization of the Weyl symmetry, and is a general feature of Weyl gravity, is a well known result in relativistic theories.

It will be instructive to note some other relations satisfied by making use of the definitions given in (5.1) and (5.2). These are the respective inverses given by,

$$h_{\nu\rho} = {\Lambda_\nu}^a {\Lambda_\rho}^a \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\tau^\rho = {\Sigma_0}^\rho. \tag{5.10}$$

In addition these definitions (5.1, 5.2, 5.9, 5.10) satisfy the orthogonality relation between the spatial metrices and temporal metrices, since

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\mu\nu}\tau_\nu &= \Sigma_a{}^\mu \Sigma_a{}^\nu \Lambda_\nu{}^0, & \quad h_{\mu\nu}\tau^\nu &= \Lambda_\mu{}^a \Lambda_\nu{}^a \Sigma_0{}^\nu \\ &= \Sigma_a{}^\mu \delta^0_a & &= \Lambda_\mu{}^a \delta^a_0 \\ &= 0 & &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

In a similar manner the following projection relations,

$$h^{\mu\lambda} h_{\lambda\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu - \tau^\mu \tau_\nu, \quad \tau^\mu \tau_\mu = 1. \tag{5.12}$$

can also be satisfied. Given these relations, it is clear that despite the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry generating non-metricity, the familiar relations of Newton-Cartan geometry continue to hold.

We can now use the definitions introduced in this section to rewrite Eq. (4.8) in the following fully covariant notation.

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x \sqrt{h} \left[\frac{i}{2} \left(\phi^* \tau^\mu D_\mu \phi - \phi \tau^\mu D_\mu \phi^* \right) - \frac{1}{2m} h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \phi^* D_\nu \phi \right] \tag{5.13}$$

Note that for scalar field '$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu$' can be identified with the covariant derivative 'D_μ' defined in previous section [12]. The curved background in action (5.13) can now be interpreted as the Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry.

In the context of the covariant derivative, the explicit form of the connection can be determined. This follows from the vierbein postulate by contracting (5.4) with $\Sigma_\alpha{}^\sigma$, which gives the following general expression for the connection

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} = \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^\alpha \Sigma_\alpha{}^\rho + B^\alpha{}_{\mu\beta} \Lambda_\nu{}^\beta \Sigma_\alpha{}^\rho + 2 b_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho + b_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^a \Sigma_a{}^\rho \tag{5.14}$$

In both Newton-Cartan and the Weyl rescaled geometry, considered here, we can allow for torsion. The expression for torsion will be provided after the derivation of the symmetric connection.

Symmetric connection: Using (5.14), we find the following expression for the symmetric connection of the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} &= \tau^\rho \partial_{(\mu} \tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma} \Big(\partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} \Big) + \left(b_\mu \delta^\rho_\nu + b_\nu \delta^\rho_\mu - b_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma} h_{\nu\mu} \right) \\ &\quad + h^{\rho\lambda} K_{\lambda(\mu} \tau_{\nu)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The detailed calculation leading to 5.15 is given in appendix A. In 5.15, the two form K is defined in a similar way as the one given in [12]

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\rho\lambda} K_{\lambda(\mu} \tau_{\nu)} &= \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\lambda} [K_{\lambda\mu} \tau_\nu + K_{\lambda\nu} \tau_\mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\lambda} [\Lambda_\lambda{}^a B^a{}_{0\mu} \tau_\nu + \Lambda_\lambda{}^a B^a{}_{0\nu} \tau_\mu] \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Defining ‘K’ in this way makes the connection unique. Note that, $\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} = h^{\mu\rho}h_{\nu\rho} + \tau^{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$. It is evident from (5.15) that in the limit of vanishing ‘b’, the expression reduces to that of the Newton-Cartan connection.

Connection with torsion : In general, the connection can also have antisymmetric part. Then from (5.4) we can write,

$$\partial_{[\mu}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{\alpha} - \tilde{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{[\nu\mu]}\lambda_{\rho}{}^{\alpha} + B^{\alpha}_{[\mu|\beta}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{\beta} + 2b_{[\mu}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{0}\delta^{\alpha}_{0} + b_{[\mu}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{b}\delta^{\alpha}_{b} = 0 \tag{5.17}$$

Multiplying with $\Sigma_{\alpha}{}^{\sigma}$ on both sides we will get,

$$\tau^{\sigma}\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} + \Sigma_{a}{}^{\sigma}(\partial_{[\mu}\Lambda^{a}_{\nu]} + B^{a}_{\mu|\beta}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{\beta}) + b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}\tau^{\sigma} + b_{[\mu}\delta^{\sigma}_{\nu]} = \frac{T^{\sigma}_{\nu\mu}}{2} \tag{5.18}$$

where $T^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} = 2\tilde{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{[\nu\mu]}$ is known as the torsion tensor.

Manipulating the terms in the parenthesis using the vielbein postulate one can get,

$$\tau^{\sigma}\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} + 2b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}\tau^{\sigma} = \frac{T^{\rho}_{\nu\mu}}{2}\tau^{\sigma}\tau_{\rho} \tag{5.19}$$

We can infer two important facts from the relation (5.19). First, due to the presence of the scale term in (5.15) one can have non-vanishing torsion even while τ_{μ} is hypersurface orthogonal, i.e. satisfies Frobenius' theorem. This distinguishes this result from that in the Newton-Cartan literature where the requirement of $\tau_{[\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\tau_{\lambda]} = 0$ is at odds with the torsion constraint. The second implication has been pointed out in the literature [17], namely that when the right hand side of Eq. (5.19) vanishes, it leads to a non-trivial condition

$$\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = -2b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}$$

This specifically restricts the spatial hypersurfaces of the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background. In presence of torsion the expression of the connection (5.15) will be modified as,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} &= \tau^{\rho}\partial_{(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}\Big(\partial_{\mu}h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_{\nu}h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_{\sigma}h_{\mu\nu}\Big) + (b_{\mu}\delta^{\rho}_{\nu} + b_{\nu}\delta^{\rho}_{\mu} - b_{\sigma}h^{\rho\sigma}h_{\nu\mu}) \\ &\quad + h^{\rho\lambda}K_{\lambda(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}\left[-T_{\mu\nu\sigma} - T_{\nu\mu\sigma} + T_{\sigma\nu\mu}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

The expression in Eq. (5.19) is just one part of the torsion tensor. The full expression can be obtained from (5.19) using (5.12) and (5.18),

$$\frac{T^{\sigma}_{\nu\mu}}{2} = \tau^{\sigma}\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} + 2b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}\tau^{\sigma} + \left(\partial_{[\mu}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{a} + B^{a}_{[\mu|b}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{b} + b_{[\mu}\Lambda_{\nu]}{}^{a}\right)h^{\sigma\gamma}\Lambda_{\gamma}{}^{a} + K_{\gamma[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}h^{\sigma\gamma} \tag{5.21}$$

Eq. (5.21) is the general expression of the torsion tensor, which includes a spatial contribution. This expression has not been considered in the literature thus far. The spatial contribution, which is the everything other than the first two terms in the right hand side of Eq. (5.21), could have interesting consequences. For instance, it is possible that

$$K_{\gamma[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = b_{[\nu}h_{\mu]\gamma},$$

which is a non-trivial constraint between the external forces and the scale gauge parameter b_μ. This condition would relate the scale gauge field 'b_μ' with the U(1) gauge field 'A_μ' of the Newton-Cartan background following Eq. (2.8), and would lead to a spatial contribution to the torsion tensor which can be ignored under standard arguments.

Weyl tensor : Finally, just as in the case of Weyl invariant relativistic backgrounds, we can construct the Newton-Cartan analogue of the Weyl tensor in General Relativity. For the purposes of deriving a simple expression for the Weyl tensor, we will for the moment assume that the Riemann tensor of the Newton-Cartan background satisfies the conditions in (2.6) and (2.7), and that the connection is symmetric. The Weyl tensor can be constructed as the trace free part of the Riemann tensor. To this end we seek a tensor

$$C_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} + 2(h_{\lambda[\mu}S_{\nu]\sigma} + \tau_\lambda\tau_{[\mu}S_{\nu]\sigma}) - 2(h_{\sigma[\mu}S_{\nu]\lambda} + \tau_\sigma\tau_{[\mu}S_{\nu]\lambda}) \tag{5.22}$$

where the above construction has been made for the Newton-Cartan Riemann tensor. Due to the first equality in Eq. (2.6),we have $R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = h_{\lambda\rho}R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$. The key property of $C_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu}$ is that, it vanishes when any pair of indices is contracted with either $h^{\mu\nu}$ or $\tau^\mu\tau^\nu$. But the Riemann tensor provides a non-vanishing result when contracted with both $h^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tau^\mu\tau^\nu$, depending on the indices being contracted [2]. Therefore both $h_{\sigma\mu}$ and $\tau_\sigma\tau_\mu$ appear in (5.22). By requiring that $C_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu}$ be trace free, the following expression for $S_{\nu\sigma}$ can be derived,

$$S_{\nu\sigma} = \frac{R_{\sigma\nu}}{n-2} - \frac{R(h_{\sigma\nu} + \tau_\sigma\tau_\nu)}{2(n-2)(n-1)} \tag{5.23}$$

The tensor $S_{\nu\sigma}$ has a form which is similar to that of the Schouten tensor in General Relativity.

We now turn our attention to the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan Riemann tensor. To relate it with the Newton-Cartan Riemann tensor Eq. (5.15) can be written in the following way

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} = \Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} + (b_\mu\delta^\rho_\nu + b_\nu\delta^\rho_\mu - b_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma}h_{\nu\mu}) \tag{5.24}$$

where $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu}$ represents the usual Newton-Cartan connection.

The Riemann tensor for the connection in Eq. (5.24) is defined in the usual way

$$[\tilde{\nabla}_\mu, \tilde{\nabla}_\nu]V^\lambda = \tilde{R}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}V^\sigma \tag{5.25}$$

Upon expansion, we find the following result

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = {} & R^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} + 2\nabla_{[\mu}(b_{\nu]}\delta^\lambda_\sigma + \delta^\lambda_{\nu]}b_\sigma - h_{\nu]\sigma}b_\delta h^{\delta\lambda}) + 2\delta^\lambda_{[\mu}(b_{\nu]}b_\sigma - h_{\nu]\sigma}b_\rho b_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma}) \\ & + 2b_\rho h^{\rho\lambda}b_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma} - 2b_\rho\tau^\rho\tau_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma}b_\gamma h^{\gamma\lambda}\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

---

[2] In Appendix B, these non-vanishing contractions of the Riemann tensor, and the derivation of the expression of $S_{\nu\sigma}$ are discussed.

While ${R^{\lambda}}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ satisfies the properties given in Eq. (2.6) and 2.7), ${\tilde{R}^{\lambda}}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ in general does *not*. These differences have important consequences in the way the Riemann tensor in Eq. (5.26) is contracted. Requiring that $\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}{\tilde{R}^{\lambda}}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}_{\sigma\nu}$ implies that one can lower with the combination $h_{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$ and raise with the combination $h^{\mu\nu} + \tau^{\mu}\tau^{\nu}$. In other words, we are using the identity

$$\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} = (h^{\mu\alpha} + \tau^{\mu}\tau^{\alpha})(h_{\alpha\lambda} + \tau_{\alpha}\tau_{\lambda}) \tag{5.27}$$

to infer how to lower and raise indices. This contraction also agrees with the fact that the fields b_{μ} have both spatial and temporal components.

In the case of the Newton-Cartan background, $\tau_{\lambda}{R^{\lambda}}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = 0$ led to $R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = h_{\lambda\rho}{R^{\rho}}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$. But for the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{\lambda\epsilon} + \tau_{\lambda}\tau_{\epsilon})\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}_{\epsilon\sigma\mu\nu} &= R_{\epsilon\sigma\mu\nu} + 2(h_{\epsilon\sigma} + \tau_{\epsilon}\tau_{\sigma})\nabla_{[\mu}b_{\nu]} + 2(h_{\epsilon[\nu}\nabla_{\mu]}b_{\sigma} + \tau_{\epsilon}\tau_{[\nu}\nabla_{\mu]}b_{\sigma}) \\ &- 2\nabla_{[\mu}(h_{\nu]\sigma}b_{\epsilon}) + 2\tau^{\delta}\tau_{\epsilon}\nabla_{[\mu}(h_{\nu]\sigma}b_{\delta}) + 2h_{\epsilon[\mu}b_{\nu]}b_{\sigma} + 2\tau_{\epsilon}\tau_{[\mu}b_{\nu]}b_{\sigma} \\ &- 2h_{\epsilon[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma}h^{\gamma\rho}b_{\gamma}b_{\rho} - 2\tau_{\epsilon}\tau_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma}h^{\gamma\rho}b_{\gamma}b_{\rho} + 2b_{\epsilon}b_{[\mu}h_{\nu]}\sigma - 2b_{\rho}\tau^{\rho}\tau_{\epsilon}b_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma} \\ &- 2b_{\rho}\tau^{\rho}\tau_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma}b_{\epsilon} + 2\tau^{\gamma}\tau^{\rho}b_{\gamma}b_{\rho}\tau_{\epsilon}\tau_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\sigma}\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

By contracting 5.28 with $h^{\epsilon\mu} + \tau^{\epsilon}\tau^{\mu}$ we get $\tilde{R}_{\sigma\nu}$. The result is,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{\sigma\nu} = R_{\sigma\nu} &+ 2\nabla_{[\sigma}b_{\nu]} - \nabla_{\mu}(h_{\nu\sigma}b_{\epsilon}h^{\epsilon\mu}) + (n-2)[b_{\nu}b_{\sigma} - \nabla_{\nu}b_{\sigma} - h_{\nu\sigma}h^{\gamma\rho}b_{\gamma}b_{\rho}] \\ &- \tau_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}(\tau^{\rho}b_{\rho}) + 2b_{\rho}\tau^{\rho}\tau_{(\sigma}b_{\nu)} - (b_{\rho}\tau^{\rho})(b_{\gamma}\tau^{\gamma})(\tau_{\nu}\tau_{\sigma})\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

This is of course the same result one would get from Eq. (5.26) by setting $\lambda = \mu$. To get the Ricci scalar from Eq. (5.29) we again contract with $h^{\sigma\nu} + \tau^{\sigma}\tau^{\nu}$. The result of this contraction is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R} = R &- h^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}b_{\nu}(2n-3) - (\tau^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}(b_{\rho}\tau^{\rho}) - \tau^{\gamma}\tau^{\rho}b_{\gamma}b_{\rho})(n-1) \\ &+ (n-2)b_{\sigma}\tau^{\rho}\nabla_{\rho}\tau^{\sigma} - (n-2)^2 h^{\gamma\rho}b_{\gamma}b_{\rho}\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

As we have seen, certain symmetries of the Newton-Cartan Riemann tensor are not satisfied by the Weyl rescaled counterpart. For instance, Eq. (5.29) reveals that the Ricci tensor is not symmetric. At this stage we could require the symmetries $\tilde{R}_{[\sigma\nu]} = 0 = {\tilde{R}^{\lambda}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ to hold for the Riemann tensor of the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background. This in turn determines conditions on the 'b' fields through which these symmetries are satisfied. Proceeding in this way will lead to the Newton-Cartan Weyl tensor defined in Eq. (5.22) being invariant under non-relativistic scale transformations. That is

$${C^{\lambda}}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = {\tilde{C}^{\lambda}}_{\sigma\mu\nu} \tag{5.31}$$

In General Relativity this simply leads to the condition that $b_{\sigma} = \partial_{\sigma}\alpha$, for some scalar field α. Here, apart from this constraint, the additional requirement of $b_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = 0$ needs to be satisfied. This constraint will be satisfied whenever the spatial hypersurfaces satisfy Frobenius' theorem [17]. However, it may be useful to consider the symmetries of the Weyl rescaled

Riemann tensor, without imposing additional conditions. For instance, this is useful in the treatment of conformal fluids on curved backgrounds [32], whose non-relativistic construction will be provided in the next section. In following this course of action, no terms are dropped in the expressions of the Riemann and Ricci tensors given in Eqs. (5.26),(5.29) and (5.30), and the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan Riemann tensor can be used to define the *general* Newton-Cartan Weyl tensor, which is of a considerably more complicated form than the one provided here. In other words, the general Weyl tensor will still have the form given in Eq. (5.22), but the Schouten tensor is not as simple as the form given in Eq. (5.23), and contains additional terms.

The equations provided in this section completes the description of the Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry. It can be seen that this resultant geometry does not spoil the basic premise of non-relativistic curved backgrounds; that they are comprised of a degenerate spatial and temporal metric. Rather, the scale symmetry now manifests itself in the (anisotropic) Weyl rescaling of the two metrics separately, altering the description of both the symmetric connection as well as the torsion. Additionally, as we have just seen, we can construct a Weyl tensor which is invariant under anisotropic scale transformations.

6 Construction of non-relativistic conformal fluid dynamics

The aim of this section is to elaborate on an important application of the construction thus far, namely, in the description of non-relativistic conformal fluids. We will first develop a Weyl-covariant formalism which simplifies the study of conformal non-relativistic hydrodynamics analogous to the relativistic case. This leads to a proposal for the entropy current of an non-relativistic conformal fluid coupled to a curved background.

A preliminary study of non-relativistic fluids on the usual Newton-Cartan background was performed in [13]. We will base our subsequent calculations on this work and extend them to the conformal case.

6.1 Fluid dynamics on the Newton-Cartan background

In this section we review the properties of ideal non-relativistic fluids on the Newton-Cartan background following [13, 30, 31]. The constitutive relations for the non-relativistic ideal fluid are,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\rho + \partial_i(\rho v^i) &= 0 \quad \text{(Continuity equation)}\\
\partial_t(\rho v^i) + \partial_i T^{ij} &= 0 \quad \text{(Momentum conservation equation)}\\
\partial_t\left(\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\rho\mathbf{v}^2\right) + \partial_i j^i &= 0 \quad \text{(Energy conservation equation)}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $\rho, v^i, T^{ij}, \epsilon$ and j^i are density, velocity vector, stress-energy tensor, energy density and matter current of fluid respectively.

The description of non-relativistic fluids requires a choice of fluid velocity. For this purpose, let us consider the fluid velocity u^μ [3] such that

$$u^\mu \tau_\mu = 1 \qquad\qquad u^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

The relations satisfied by this velocity vector follow from some basic considerations of the Newton-Cartan background, as first discussed in [14], which we will now review. Before delving into these relations, let us briefly recall that the Newton-Cartan covariant derivative actually decomposes into two parts, that corresponding to the inertial piece, and another corresponding to an external force. Thus, the action of the Newton-Cartan covariant derivative acting on a vector field V^λ is given by

$$\nabla_\mu V^\lambda = \nabla'_\mu V^\lambda + h^{\lambda\rho} K_{\rho(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} V^\nu = \partial_\mu V^\lambda + \Gamma'^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} V^\nu + h^{\lambda\rho} K_{\rho(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} V^\nu \tag{6.3}$$

where $\Gamma'^{\rho}_{\nu\mu}$ is the inertial piece of the connection given by

$$\Gamma'^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} = \tau^\rho \partial_{(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu}) \tag{6.4}$$

A sensible requirement is that the fluid has no acceleration and is irrotational when considered with respect to this inertial frame, i.e.

$$a'^\mu = u^\rho \nabla'_\rho u^\mu = 0, \quad \omega'^{\mu\nu} = h^{\gamma[\mu}\nabla'_\gamma u^{\nu]} = 0 \tag{6.5}$$

The fluid velocity u^ν also satisfies,

$$\nabla_\mu u^\nu = \nabla'_\mu u^\nu - \frac{1}{2} h^{\nu\lambda} K_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} h^{\nu\lambda} K_{\rho\lambda}\tau_\mu u^\rho = \nabla'_\mu u^\nu + h^{\nu\lambda} K_{\lambda(\rho}\tau_{\mu)} u^\rho \tag{6.6}$$

Using (2.3), (6.5) and (6.6) one can obtain,

$$K_{\lambda\mu} = 2h_{\nu[\lambda}\nabla_{\mu]} u^\nu, \quad \delta K_{\lambda\mu} = -2\nabla_{[\lambda} h_{\mu]\nu}\delta u^\nu \tag{6.7}$$

From (6.5) and (6.6), it then follows that the fluid variables for the expansion, acceleration, shear and vorticity for a general Newton-Cartan frame are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \nabla_\mu u^\mu = \nabla'_\mu u^\mu = \theta' \\
a^\nu &= u^\mu \nabla_\mu u^\nu = h^{\nu\lambda} K_{\lambda\rho} u^\rho \\
\sigma^{\mu\nu} &= [h^{\lambda(\mu}\nabla_\lambda u^{\nu)}] - \frac{\theta}{n-1} h^{\mu\nu} = [h^{\lambda(\mu}\nabla'_\lambda u^{\nu)}] - \frac{\theta}{n-1} h^{\mu\nu} = \sigma'^{\mu\nu} \\
\omega^{\mu\nu} &= [h^{\lambda[\mu}\nabla_\lambda u^{\nu]}] = \omega'^{\mu\nu} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

---

[3] There exist many choices for fluid velocity one could adopt, but for simplicity in the remainder of this paper we will assume that the fluid is co-moving i.e u^α is in the direction of τ^α.

Thus apart from the acceleration, all other basic variables used to describe the fluid are invariant in going from an inertial to a non-inertial Newton-Cartan frame. In addition to these basic fluid variables, the description of a fluid requires a definition of stress energy tensor and other matter currents of the theory. Since the Newton-Cartan background contains two degenerate metrics $(h^{\mu\nu}, \tau_\mu)$ and additional gauge fields $(h_{\mu\nu}, \tau^\mu, A_\mu)$, these definitions should follow from a careful variation of the action. The most general variation of the action, which leaves the connection invariant, is given by

$$0 = \delta S = \int \sqrt{h} d^4x [-\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu\nu} \delta h^{\mu\nu} + Q^\mu \delta\tau_\mu + J^\mu \delta A_\mu + R_\mu \delta u^\mu] \tag{6.9}$$

where $P_{\mu\nu}, Q^\mu, J^\mu$ and R_μ at this stage are merely coefficients to the quantities being varied. Two of these variations correspond to non-gauge variables, i.e. $\delta h^{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta\tau_\mu$, which are the variations of the given inverse spatial metric and temporal 1-form. Setting these variations to vanish provides the contributions from the pure gauge variables A_μ and u^μ. (6.9) then reduces to,

$$\delta S = \int \sqrt{h} d^4x [J^\mu \delta A_\mu + R_\mu \delta u^\mu] \tag{6.10}$$

We can simplify (6.10) further by using the properties of $K_{\lambda\mu}$. Following (2.8) and (6.7) we get,

$$\delta A_\mu = -h_{\mu\rho} \delta u^\rho + \partial_\mu \chi \tag{6.11}$$

where $\partial_\mu \chi$ is a constant. Using the expression of δA_μ from (6.11) the action (6.10) simplifies to,

$$\delta S = \int \sqrt{h} d^4x [(-J^\mu h_{\mu\rho} + R_\rho) \delta u^\rho - (\nabla_\rho J^\rho) \chi] \tag{6.12}$$

For arbitary χ, $\delta u^\rho = 0$ gives,

$$\nabla_\rho J^\rho = 0 \tag{6.13}$$

This is the equation for the conserved (matter) current in the theory. For arbitrary δu^ρ and $\chi = 0$ we have from 6.12,

$$R_\mu = J^\rho h_{\mu\rho} \tag{6.14}$$

Considering the variation of the action under diffeomorphisms one can get,

$$0 = \delta S = \int \sqrt{h} d^4x [-\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu\nu} \pounds_\xi h^{\mu\nu} + Q^\mu \pounds_\xi \tau_\mu + J^\mu \pounds_\xi A_\mu + R_\mu \pounds_\xi u^\mu] \tag{6.15}$$

where $\pounds_\xi$ is the Lie derivative (of the object) along the field ξ^μ. After a bit more calculation (6.15) gives,

$$0 = \delta S = \int \sqrt{h} d^4x \; \xi^\nu [\nabla_\mu (-T^\mu{}_\nu) + 2 J^\mu \nabla_{[\nu} A_{\mu]} + R_\mu \nabla_\nu u^\mu] \tag{6.16}$$

where

$$T^\mu{}_\nu = P_{\nu\rho} h^{\mu\rho} + Q^\mu \tau_\nu - R_\nu u^\mu \tag{6.17}$$

and

$$\nabla_\mu(T^\mu{}_\nu) = 2J^\mu\nabla_{[\nu}A_{\mu]} + R_\mu\nabla_\nu u^\mu = J^\mu K_{\nu\mu} + R_\mu\nabla_\nu u^\mu \tag{6.18}$$

Using the expression of R_μ and $K_{\nu\mu}$, (6.18) take the following form,

$$\nabla_\mu T^\mu{}_\nu = \rho h_{\nu\gamma}a^\gamma \tag{6.19}$$

This relation differs from the usual relation in relativistic fluid systems. The Newton-Cartan background accounts for additional external forces. a^γ has been defined previously, and was shown to be the only basic fluid variable which differs in going from inertial to general frames.

The expression for $T^\mu{}_\nu$, in general, is given by Eq. (6.17). By setting $P_{\nu\rho} = -Ph_{\nu\rho}$ and $Q^\mu = 2\epsilon u^\mu$ Eq. (6.17) simplifies to,

$$T^\mu{}_\nu = (P + 2\epsilon)u^\mu\tau_\nu - P\delta^\mu_\nu \tag{6.20}$$

Equation (6.20) is the constitutive relation which expresses the stress tensor in terms of the energy density ϵ, pressure P and velocity u^μ, and is the closest analogue which one has in the Newton-Cartan background of the usual expression of the relativistic stress energy tensor of an ideal fluid. The factor of 2 in the definition of Q^μ is required in order to make the trace free condition match the well known non-relativistic condition. By setting $T^\mu_\mu = 0$ in Eq. (6.20 we find the condition

$$2\epsilon = (n-1)P \tag{6.21}$$

as required. In addition, while there is no formal way to define J^μ from the action, it is natural to interpret it as some mass flow, which is proportional to the fluid velocity. We can thus write

$$J^\mu_i = \rho_i u^\mu \tag{6.22}$$

where ρ_i represents the conserved charge density. This follows the zeroth order result of derivative expansion.

For an ideal fluid, another conservation equation holds for the local entropy current. It follows from the second law of thermodynamics as a derived notion. The requirement that entropy should be non-decreasing during hydrodynamic evolution can be expressed in a covariant way in terms of an entropy current whose divergence is non-negative.

$$\nabla_\mu J^\mu_S \geq 0 \tag{6.23}$$

In (6.23) the equality holds for ideal fluids. The entropy current J^μ_s can be expressed as,

$$J^\mu_s = su^\mu \tag{6.24}$$

where 's' is the entropy density of the fluid.

6.2 Fluid dynamics on Weyl-extended Newton-Cartan background

In this subsection, we first introduce a manifestly Weyl-covariant formalism suited to the study of non-relativistic conformal incompressible fluids. An important feature of incompressible fluids is that the Euler equations are invariant under the scale transformation but not under the special conformal transformation [27]. Thus conformal incompressible fluids are only scale invariant.

The various conformal observables like expansion, acceleration and shear related to non-relativistic conformal fluids will be,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\theta} &= \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u^\mu = \theta + (n+1)u^\mu b_\mu \\
\tilde{a^\nu} &= u^\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u^\nu = a^\nu + 2u^\mu b_\mu u^\nu \\
\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu} + u^\rho b_\rho h^{\mu\nu} + b_\rho h^{\rho(\nu} u^{\mu)} \\
\tilde{\omega}^{\mu\nu} &= b_\rho h^{\rho[\nu} u^{\mu]}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

where

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu V^\lambda = \nabla_\mu V^\lambda + (b_\mu \delta^\lambda_\nu + b_\nu \delta^\lambda_\mu - b_\sigma h^{\lambda\sigma} h_{\nu\mu}) V^\nu \tag{6.26}$$

Now we require a conformally invariant derivative '$\mathcal{D}$', such that if a tensor $\tilde{Q}^{\alpha\cdots}_{\beta\ldots}$ obeys $\tilde{Q}^{\alpha\cdots}_{\beta\ldots} = e^{ws} Q^{\alpha\cdots}_{\beta\ldots}$, the derivative will act on it as,

$$\mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} = e^{-ws} \mathcal{D} Q^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} \tag{6.27}$$

Following this the corresponding covariant derivative can be defined as,

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu = \tilde{\nabla}_\mu + w b_\mu \tag{6.28}$$

where 'w' is the conformal weight of the quantity.

Note that the above covariant derivative is metric compatible.

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu h^{\mu\nu} = 0, \mathcal{D}_\mu \tau_\mu = 0 \tag{6.29}$$

For relativistic conformal fluid dynamics, additionally, the conformal acceleration ($u^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu u^\alpha$) and expansion ($\mathcal{D}_\mu u^\mu$) are assumed to vanish, which leads to a condition on b_μ. We will first treat the action of the conformally invariant derivative '$\mathcal{D}$' on the rescaled tensors as they are before imposing any conditions. Given that the fluid velocity satisfies Eq. (6.2), we find the following expression for the conformal acceleration on the Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan background

$$u^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu u^\nu = u^\mu \nabla_\mu u^\nu = a^\nu \tag{6.30}$$

which follows from the fact that the scaling dimension of u^μ is 2. We thus see that $u^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu u^\nu = 0$ when there is no acceleration. Further, the requirement of $\mathcal{D}_\mu u^\mu = 0$ directly leads to the following condition

$$b_\mu u^\mu = -\frac{\theta}{n-1} \tag{6.31}$$

As can be seen from 6.30 and 6.31, the conformally invariant derivative is useful in casting the variables and equations of non-relativistic incompressible fluid mechanics in a manifestly conformal language. These derivatives also define a curvature tensor through their commutator,

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]V^\lambda = \tilde{R}^\lambda_{\mu\nu\sigma}V^\sigma + \omega F_{\mu\nu}V^\lambda \tag{6.32}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu b_\nu - \nabla_\nu b_\mu$, and $\tilde{R}^\lambda_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ is as given in (5.26). Note that should the usual symmetries of the Riemann tensor be assumed in Eq. (5.26) which was discussed in detail following this equation in section 5, the field strength for the scale gauge field b_μ would necessarily vanish. This would in turn affect the derivative expansion and the dissipative terms that would result [32].

Let us now use these concepts to describe the conservation equations of the fluid on the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background. The guiding principle will be that the action of the conformally invariant derivative on the rescaled currents of the theory is that same as that of the Newton-Cartan covariant derivative on these currents, whose conservation equations are known. The action of the covariant derivative on the stress tensor defined in Eq. (6.20) is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu + \Gamma'^\mu_{\mu\rho}T^\rho_\nu - \Gamma'^\rho_{\mu\nu}T^\mu_\rho \tag{6.33}$$

This explicitly leads to the result that,

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu \tag{6.34}$$

provided T^μ_ν has weight 'n' and is traceless, i.e. $T^\mu_\mu = 0$. It thus follows from (6.20) that the conformal weights of 'P' and 'ϵ' are both 'n'. These are the same conditions as applicable to the relativistic case.

Likewise, the covariant derivative acting on J^μ gives,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu J^\mu = (b_\mu\delta^\mu_\nu + b_\nu\delta^\mu_\mu - b_\sigma h^{\mu\sigma}h_{\nu\mu})J^\nu = (n+1)b_\mu J^\mu \tag{6.35}$$

If the conformal weight of all conserved currents J^μ_i of the theory are $(n+1)$, we then have

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu J^\mu = 0 \tag{6.36}$$

This result differs from the relativistic case where the weight is always required to be equal to the dimension of the spacetime, i.e. 'n'. It however does imply that the weight of the density ρ_i be $(n-1)$ just as in the relativistic case, following (6.22) and that the weight of u^μ is 2. For arbitrary anisotropic scaling 'z', Eq. (6.36) will be satisfied if the conformal weight of the current J^μ_i is $(n+z-1)$.

Apart from the conventional mass flow, we will now consider the conformal incompressible fluid as a thermodynamic system and assume that in strict analogy to the mass current, there exists a local 'entropy current' J^μ_s of the fluid which also has a conformal weight equal to the $(n+1)$. In addition to these equations, we can define an inequality for the entropy current

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu J^\mu_S \geq 0 \tag{6.37}$$

which follows from the second law of thermodynamics.

Similarly, the first law of thermodynamics for this system can be written in terms of the conformally invariant derivative,

$$Tu^{\lambda}\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}s = \frac{(n-1)}{2}u^{\lambda}\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}P - \mu^{i}u^{\lambda}\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}\rho_{i} \tag{6.38}$$

Requiring the conformal weights on both sides of this equation to be equal leads to the result that the weights of temperature 'T' and chemical potential 'μ^i' be 1. This general expression simplifies in the case of an ideal fluid. It follows from 6.22 and 6.36 that $\mu^{i}u^{\lambda}\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}\rho_{i} = 0$. Likewise, Eq. (6.19) shows that $u^{\nu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$ which establishes that $u^{\lambda}\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}P = 0$ on substituting Eq. (6.20). Thus, the entropy density for an ideal fluid on the Weyl- rescaled Newton-Cartan background satisfies the following relation

$$Tu^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}s = 0 \tag{6.39}$$

In considering the case of the conformal incompressible fluid on the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, we have thus found that the conformal weights of the energy, pressure, matter and entropy densities, as well as the temperature, are the same as in the relativistic case. The difference in the conformal weight of the matter and entropy currents from that of the relativistic case were identified in this section to be due to the anisotropic scaling. It will be interesting to study how these results differ in the case of more general fluid and velocity choices.

We would like to emphasize the relevance of the construction given in this subsection. In particular, the construction of the conformal covariant derivative in Eq. (6.28), the general Riemann tensor (which need not possess the usual symmetries) in Eq. (5.26 - 5.30), and the field strength of the conformal covariant derivatives in Eq. (6.32), are all necessary in order to further determine contributions from dissipative terms through a derivative expansion. In this regard, the determination of the relation of the gauge field for scale transformations and fluid variables in Eq.(6.31) is also an essential relation to derive. None of the above derived results have been considered in the Newton-Cartan literature prior to the present work. As indicated in this subsection, the treatment of non-relativistic fluid dynamics differs in many ways from the relativistic treatment, in large part due to the relations of the degenerate metrics for this background, and the spatial and temporal dependence of the gauge field for scale transformations. The detailed investigation of viscosity and dissipation, and the derivative expansion in general, promises to be considerably more interesting.

7 Contributions of scale symmetry to the Quantum Hall Effect

In this section, we will be interested in the consequences of non-relativistic anisotropic scale symmetry in describing quantum Hall fluids. The Hall viscosity results from the Berry phase term in the effective action [35]. More specifically, it is the response to spatial stress in the corresponding term in stress energy tensor. The effective field theory consists of the Schrödinger field minimally coupled to a background electromagnetic field A_μ, and a “dynamical” statistical field a_μ. The inclusion of the Chern Simons term involving the field a_μ follows from the need to study perturbations about a mean field of a strongly coupled, anyonic system. The statistical field term in effect fixes the statistics of the system to be either bosonic or fermionic, and enables the study of responses to the system. After the perturbation has been taken into account, one can then integrate out this field to have the effective field theory description of Quantum Hall Effect. In this context, the field Φ represents either a composite boson or a composite fermion, and since we are interested in the consequences of curved backgrounds on the system, we will investigate the former. We can use the result of Eq. (5.13) to express the Chern Simons Landau Ginzburg (CSLG) effective action of the Quantum Hall effect [26] in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int dtd^2x\sqrt{h}\Big[\frac{i}{2}\tau^\mu\left(\Phi(x)D_\mu\Phi(x)^* - \Phi^*(x)D_\mu\Phi(x)\right) - \frac{1}{2m}h^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\Phi(x))^*(D_\nu\Phi(x)) \\ + \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}}{8\pi s}a_\mu\nabla_\nu a_\lambda\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

where $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is the Levi Civita tensor, and the covariant derivative on the curved background ‘D_μ’ is,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu &= \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu + ia_\mu + isB_\mu + is'C_\mu \\ &= \partial_\mu + i\alpha_\mu + ia_\mu\,, \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

In (7.2) ‘A_μ’ is the external electromagnetic field, ‘a_μ’ is the statistical gauge field, ‘B_μ’ was introduced at the time of localization of the Galilean symmetry and similarly ’C_μ’ for the scale transformation in (3.11). Since we will integrate out the statistical field a_μ before our final result, we have written the covariant derivative as in the second equality in Eq. (7.2). The hydrodynamic version of (7.1) is derived by expressing the complex field Φ in polar variables [35, 42, 43],

$$\Phi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{i\theta} \tag{7.3}$$

where ρ is the matter density, $\rho = \Phi^*\Phi$. The transformation (7.3) leads to the following action,

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int dtd^2x\sqrt{h}[\rho\tau^\mu\left(\partial_\mu\theta + \alpha_\mu + a_\mu\right) - \frac{\rho}{2m}h^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\theta + \alpha_\mu + a_\mu\right)\left(\partial_\nu\theta + \alpha_\nu + a_\nu\right) \\ - \frac{1}{8m\rho}h^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\rho\partial_\nu\rho + \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}}{8\pi s}a_\mu\nabla_\nu a_\lambda] \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

The response of the FQH state to probe fields can be considered through the following variations of the fields

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\to \bar{\rho} + \delta\rho \\ A_\mu &\to \bar{A}_\mu + \delta A_\mu \\ a_\mu &\to \bar{a}_\mu + \delta a_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

where the barred values represent the mean field values, and the variations correspond to probe fields. The FQH state of the electrom corresponds to the superfluid state of the boson Φ, where $\bar{A}_\mu$ is completely cancelled by $\bar{a}_\mu$. Further, the average density, $\bar{\rho}$, is related to the fields $\bar{A}_\mu$ through the quantum Hall effect,

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{4\pi s}\varepsilon^{0ij}\nabla_i \bar{A}_j = -\frac{1}{4\pi s}\varepsilon^{0ij}\nabla_i \bar{a}_j \tag{7.6}$$

where the filling fraction in Eq. (7.6) is written in terms of the intrinsic orbital spin 's' through the relation $\nu = \frac{1}{2s}$. With these considerations at hand, we can study the response in the effective action, where we will retain terms that are at most quadratic in variations and derivatives.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{h}\Bigg[&\tau^\mu(\partial_\mu\theta + \delta\alpha_\mu)\bar{\rho} + \tau^\mu(\partial_\mu\theta + \delta\alpha_\mu + \delta a_\mu)\delta\rho \\ &- \frac{\bar{\rho}h^{\mu\nu}}{2m}(\partial_\mu\theta + \delta\alpha_\mu + \delta a_\mu)(\partial_\nu\theta + \delta\alpha_\nu + \delta a_\nu) + \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}}{8\pi s}\delta a_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta a_\lambda\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

We can now introduce a field j^μ through a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation on the kinetic term of the action in Eq. (7.7), to rewrite the action as,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} =&\sqrt{h}\Bigg[\tau^\mu(\partial_\mu\theta + \delta\alpha_\mu)\bar{\rho} + \tau^\mu(\partial_\mu\theta + \delta\alpha_\mu + \delta a_\mu)\delta\rho - (\partial_\mu\theta + \delta\alpha_\mu + \delta a_\mu)h^{\mu\nu}j_\nu + \frac{m}{2\bar{\rho}}j_\mu h^{\mu\nu}j_\nu\Bigg] \\ &+ \sqrt{h}\frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}}{8\pi s}\delta a_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta a_\lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

In the absence of the vortex excitation, we can integrate out the phase variable θ in Eq. (7.8) to find the following conservation equation,

$$\partial_\mu(\sqrt{h}J^\mu) = \sqrt{h}\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

where we have defined $J^\mu = \delta\rho\tau^\mu - j_\nu h^{\nu\mu}$. Given Eq. (7.9) holds, we can further express it as,

$$J^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\frac{1}{2\pi}\nabla_\nu f_\lambda\,, \tag{7.10}$$

where f_λ are the new hydrodynamic gauge variables. Clearly, J^μ remains invariant under $U(1)$ transformations of the field f_λ. By substituting this expression for J^μ back in Eq. (7.8), we find,

$$\mathcal{L} =\sqrt{h}\Bigg[\bar{\rho}\tau^\mu\delta\alpha_\mu + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\frac{1}{2\pi}\nabla_\nu f_\lambda(\delta\alpha_\mu + \delta a_\mu) + \frac{m}{2\bar{\rho}}j_\mu h^{\mu\nu}j_\nu + \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}}{8\pi s}\delta a_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta a_\lambda\Bigg] \tag{7.11}$$

We can now integrate out δa_μ and obtain the effective action for the FQH state on the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background,

$$\mathcal{L} =\sqrt{h}\Bigg[\bar{\rho}\tau^\mu\delta\alpha_\mu + \frac{1}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\delta\alpha_\mu\nabla_\nu f_\lambda - \frac{s}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_\mu\nabla_\nu f_\lambda + \frac{m}{2\bar{\rho}}j_\mu h^{\mu\nu}j_\nu\Bigg] \tag{7.12}$$

Expanding this effective theory to the leading order in gauge fields, we find,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} =& \sqrt{h}\Big[e\tau^{\mu}\delta A_{\mu}\bar{\rho} + s\tau^{\mu}B_{\mu}\bar{\rho} - \frac{s}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}f_{\lambda} + \frac{e}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\delta A_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}f_{\lambda} + \frac{s}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}B_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}f_{\lambda} \\ & + s'\tau^{\mu}C_{\mu}\bar{\rho} + \frac{s'}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}C_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}f_{\lambda} + \cdots\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

The first line of Eq. (7.13) represents the low energy effective Lagrangian of the FQHE in the Newton-Cartan background, while the second line completes the contribution due to the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background. In particular for the first line of Eq. (7.13),we draw attention to the second term , which is the Berry phase term, and the last term, which is one of the Wen Zee terms. These terms provide a contribution to the Hall viscosity through the stress tensor upon considering variations in the metric about flat space. In what follows, we will be concerned with comparing the second term of the first line of Eq. (7.13) with the first term in the second line, insofar as their contribution to the stress tensor is concerned. The vierbein postulate for the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, Eq. (5.4), leads the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}\Lambda^{a}_{\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\Sigma^{\nu b} \\ C_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{d+2}\Lambda^{a}_{\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\Sigma^{\nu}_{a} \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

where the covariant derivatives in Eq. (7.14) act only on global indices. In considering variations about flat space we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu} &= \delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \delta\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu} \\ \Sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha} &= \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \delta\Sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

Considering the time dependent variations of the spatial metric and its inverse, which we will label as $h_{\mu\nu}(t)$ and $h^{\mu\nu}(t)$ respectively, we find from Eqs. (5.9) and (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\mu}B_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{ab}\delta^{b}_{\lambda}\delta^{\mu a}\, h^{\nu\lambda}(t)\dot{h}_{\nu\mu}(t) \\ \tau^{\mu}C_{\mu} &= \frac{1}{d+2}\, h^{\nu\lambda}(t)\dot{h}_{\nu\lambda}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

where the overdot indicates the time derivative. In Eq. (7.16) we made use of the fact that $\tau^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu}_{0}$ in flat space. However, its variations need not vanish. In order to retain a Newton-Cartan structure following spatial variations, it in fact is necessary to have temporal variations as well. This follows from the projection operator and the orthogonality relations Eq. (5.11).This however will not affect the calculation of the Hall viscosity, which requires expanding the Lagrangian to quadratic order in variations of the spatial metric.

With this subtle point behind us, we can now proceed to derive the contribution to the stress tensor due to the terms in Eq. (7.13). Following the discussion in section 6.1, only now for the case where the Lagrangian depends on $h^{\mu\nu}$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$, we find that the relevant form of T^μ_ν is given by,

$$T^\mu_\nu = 2\frac{\partial L}{\partial h_{\mu\rho}} h_{\rho\nu} - 2\frac{\partial L}{\partial h^{\nu\rho}} h^{\rho\mu} \tag{7.17}$$

We can now use this for the following Lagrangian,

$$L = \frac{1}{8} s\bar{\rho}\, \epsilon_{ab} \delta^{a\mu} \delta^b_\nu \left(h_{\mu\rho} \dot{h}^{\rho\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{4} s' \bar{\rho} h_{\mu\rho} \dot{h}^{\mu\rho} + \cdots \tag{7.18}$$

This Lagrangian is the the second term of the first line, and the first term of the second line of Eq. (7.13), expanded to second order in variations of the spatial metrics under the presence of a constant magnetic field ($\bar{\rho} = \text{const.}$). We will simply concern ourselves with these two terms to illustrate the form of the Hall viscosity in the Newton-Cartan background, and the relevance of the scale term which has entered in due to the Weyl rescaling of the background. Using Eq. (7.17) with the Lagrangian in Eq. (7.18), we find,

$$T^\mu_\nu = \left(\frac{s\bar{\rho}}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ab} \delta^{a\mu} \delta^b_\sigma h_{\lambda\nu} \dot{h}^{\lambda\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ab} \delta^{a\sigma} h_{\sigma\lambda} \delta^b_\nu \dot{h}^{\lambda\mu} \right) + \frac{s'\bar{\rho}}{2} \partial_t \left(h^{\mu\sigma} h_{\sigma\nu} \right) \tag{7.19}$$

In deriving Eq. (7.19) we made use of the fact that $\epsilon_{0b} = 0$. The first two terms, in the parenthesis, are contributions from the first term of Eq. (7.18), and gives the Hall viscosity for this background. The third term is the contribution due to scale transformations. These may be cast in a more familiar form by contracting Eq. (7.19) with $h_{\mu\rho}$. This leads to,

$$T_{\rho\nu} = \frac{\eta_H}{2} \left(\epsilon_{\rho\sigma} h_{\lambda\nu} + \epsilon_{\nu\sigma} h_{\lambda\rho} \right) \dot{h}^{\lambda\sigma} + \frac{\theta_H}{2} \tau_\nu \tau^\mu \dot{h}_{\mu\rho} + \cdots \tag{7.20}$$

The first two terms is Eq. (7.20) are now easily recognizable as the usual Hall viscosity term, where we have defined $\frac{s\bar{\rho}}{2} = \eta_H$ in the usual way. The third term in Eq. (7.20) is a non-trivial contribution due to scale transformations. In particular, this term does not vanish insofar as a shift τ^i exists, where the τ^μ in this equation are the corresponding variations in the temporal metric resulting from the variations of the spatial metric, about flat space. Its form is not that of a viscosity, but of an expansion in time. The hall viscosisty and scale contribution in Eq. (7.20) having vanishing trace, and require the consideration of the Wen-Zee term to determine the corrections to each which arise out of coupling with the curved background.

There are many other interesting aspects which would result due to the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, which we will not be able to consider in greater detail within this subsection, but which should be mentioned. Chief among these are the consideration of Galilean boosts in the context of response functions, and the role of torsion in the calculation of the torsional Hall viscosity. In addition, a detailed study of variations in the temporal 1-form and its inverse is needed. As indicated above, the consideration of purely spatial metric variations would not result in a background with the properties of a Newton-Cartan spacetime. The necessary inclusion of variations in τ^μ and τ_μ has led to a contribution to scale transformations as indicated in Eq. (7.20). A detailed investigation of these topics in the phenomenology of the Quantum Hall effect lies beyond the scope of the present paper, and we look forward to addressing these in future work.

8 Conclusion

In this paper, the scale transformation was successfully included in the localization scheme for a non-relativistic field theoretic model. As a consequence, we can now consistently couple massive Galilean plus dilatation invariant fields to the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, which was derived as the general curved non-relativistic background possessing these symmetries. The connection on this background differs from that of the Newton-Cartan one by terms which involve both the spatial metric and temporal vierbein. This results due to the anisotropic scaling of the field theory which was considered. We also demonstrated that while the Riemann and Ricci tensors scale quite differently than their relativistic counterparts, the definitions of the Weyl and Schouten tensors are of the same form as in the relativistic case.

As an application, we provided the description of a conformal incompressible ideal fluid in its rest frame on this background, and derived the entropy current. The weights of the energy, entropy and matter current densities were derived, through which we were further able to demonstrate the first law of thermodynamics. An interesting feature of describing fluids on this background is the inclusion of external forces in a geometric framework, by changing the form of the field A_μ. While we have considered the case of an ideal fluid, it is clear that understanding conformal fluids on this background requires further study of viscous and dissipative fluids as well. The entropy current in these cases is non-trivial, and a second order derivative expansion must be carried out. Further, it may be that the scaling properties we determined in the previous section gets altered with more general choices of velocity. The investigation of these topics requires the relations and derivatives we introduced in section 6.2, and the expressions of the Riemann tensor provided in Eqs. (5.26-5.30). We look forward to investigating these topics in future work.

As an additional application, we considered the consequences of scale invariance in the effective field theory of the Quantum Hall Effect. The inclusion of the gauge field for scale transformations led to a modified low energy hydrodynamic effective field theory. By looking into the response function to metric perturbations, we determined that the additional terms contribute in the form of an expansion. In addition, we discussed that in considering the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, it is inconsistent to merely introduce spatial metric variations without the considerations of corresponding variations in the temporal metric, so as to preserve the orthogonality relations between the two. This property is what enables the scale corrections to the effective action to contribute through metric perturbations. Additional aspects of the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background, particularly the form of the Torsion tensor and its dependence on the temporal metric and scale gauge field, promise additional geometric terms which could be introduced in the low energy effective action. A detailed investigation of these terms and their phenomelogical consequences lies beyond the scope of the present work.

Scale invariance in condensed matter systems, emphasized in the introduction, can be broken at the quantum level. One of the most striking examples of this is the presence of anomalies. The curved background which we have derived allows for the study of these anomalies. Scale anomalies most prominently studied in the context of second quantized field theories are those related to the introduction of the quartic self interaction (and other interaction) terms to the Schrödinger field theory. The free quantum theory is normally taken to be scale invariant. In considering the Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan background, this aspect need not be so. We intend on using this background to address some of these topics in future work.

As an additional application, it should be noted that the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan background can be considered as a non-relativistic limit of the Weyl-Cartan theory, obtained through the localization of the Poincare and Weyl symmetries in relativistic systems. In the relativistic case, the field introduced at the time of localization of the scale symmetry has received some consideration as a probable dark matter constituent [44]. For the non-relativistic case, the introduced gauge field (b_μ) could likely provide additional insight into this topic, owing to the presently understood non-relativistic nature of dark matter.

9 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Prof. Rabin Banerjee, Prof. Pradip Mukherjee and Karan Fernandes for useful discussions.

A Miscellaneous calculations

I) Derivation of Eq. (5.7)

From (3.18) and (5.4) it can be shown that,

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma_a{}^\sigma - B_\mu{}^b{}_a \Sigma_b{}^\sigma - b_\mu \Sigma_a{}^\sigma = -\Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\mu} \Sigma_a{}^\nu \tag{A.1}$$

Multiplying (A.1) by $\Sigma_a{}^\rho$ leads to

$$\Sigma_a{}^\rho \partial_\mu \Sigma_a{}^\sigma - B_\mu{}^b{}_a \Sigma_a{}^\rho \Sigma_b{}^\sigma - \Sigma_a{}^\rho b_\mu \Sigma_a{}^\sigma = -\Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\mu} \Sigma_a{}^\rho \Sigma_a{}^\nu \tag{A.2}$$

Considering the interchange of the indices ρ and σ, (A.2) gives

$$\Sigma_a{}^\sigma \partial_\mu \Sigma_a{}^\rho - B_\mu{}^b{}_a \Sigma_a{}^\sigma \Sigma_b{}^\rho - \Sigma_a{}^\sigma b_\mu \Sigma_a{}^\rho = -\Gamma^\rho_{\nu\mu} \Sigma_a{}^\sigma \Sigma_a{}^\nu \tag{A.3}$$

Addition of (A.2) and (A.3) produces,

$$\nabla_\mu h^{\rho\sigma} = \partial_\mu h^{\rho\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\mu} h^{\nu\sigma} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\mu} h^{\nu\rho} = 2 b_\mu h^{\rho\sigma}. \tag{A.4}$$

where we have used the antisymmetric property of $B_\mu{}^{ab}$.

II) Derivation of Eq. (5.15)

Now we will derive the expression of the symmetric Weyl-rescaled Newton-Cartan connection. Making use of (5.14), the symmetric part of connection can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} &= \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[(\partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho + \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho) + (\partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^a \Sigma_a{}^\rho + \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu{}^a \Sigma_a{}^\rho) + (B^a{}_{\mu 0} \Lambda_\nu{}^0 \Sigma_a{}^\rho \\ &+ B^a{}_{\nu 0} \Lambda_\mu{}^0 \Sigma_a{}^\rho) + (B^a{}_{\mu b} \Lambda_\nu{}^b \Sigma_a{}^\rho + B^a{}_{\nu b} \Lambda_\mu{}^b \Sigma_a{}^\rho) + (b_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^a \Sigma_a{}^\rho + b_\nu \Lambda_\mu{}^a \Sigma_a{}^\rho) \\ &+ 2(b_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho + b_\nu \Lambda_\mu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho)]\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Using $\Sigma_a{}^\rho = h^{\rho\sigma}\Lambda_\sigma{}^a$ (which follows from (5.1)), (5.2) and (5.10), the above expression will take the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu} &= \tau^\rho \partial_{(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma}[\partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} - \Lambda_\nu{}^a \partial_\mu \Lambda_\sigma{}^a] + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma}[\partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \Lambda_\mu{}^a \partial_\nu \Lambda_\sigma{}^a] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(B^a{}_{0\mu} \Lambda_\nu{}^0 \Sigma_a{}^\rho + B^a{}_{0\nu} \Lambda_\mu{}^0 \Sigma_a{}^\rho + B^a{}_{\mu b} \Lambda_\nu{}^b \Sigma_a{}^\rho + B^a{}_{\nu b} \Lambda_\mu{}^b \Sigma_a{}^\rho) + \frac{1}{2}(b_\mu \delta^\rho_\nu + b_\nu \delta^\rho_\mu) \\ &(b_\mu \Lambda_\nu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho + b_\nu \Lambda_\mu{}^0 \Sigma_0{}^\rho)\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

To get the desired expression of the connection, further manipulation of the terms in (A.6) are needed. By exploiting the symmetricity of $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[-\Lambda_\nu{}^a \partial_\mu \Lambda_\sigma{}^a - \Lambda_\mu{}^a \partial_\nu \Lambda_\sigma{}^a] \\ &= (-\partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} - B^a{}_{\mu b} \Lambda_\nu{}^b \Sigma_a{}^\rho - B^a{}_{\nu b} \Lambda_\mu{}^b \Sigma_a{}^\rho) + (b_\mu \Lambda_\sigma{}^a \Lambda_\nu{}^a + b_\nu \Lambda_\sigma{}^a \Lambda_\mu{}^a - 2b_\sigma \Lambda_\nu{}^a \Lambda_\mu{}^a)\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Using (5.9), the last parenthesis in the above expression takes the following form,

$$(b_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + b_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - 2b_\sigma h_{\nu\mu})$$

due to which the symmetric connection of the Weyl rescaled Newton-Cartan geometry can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} &= \tau^\rho \partial_{(\mu}\tau_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma}\Big(\partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu}\Big) + (b_\mu \delta^\rho_\nu + b_\nu \delta^\rho_\mu - b_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma} h_{\nu\mu}) \\ &+ h^{\rho\lambda} K_{\lambda(\mu}\tau_{\nu)}\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

B Schouten tensor of the Newton-Cartan background

We begin by considering Eq. (5.22), which we reproduce here for convenience

$$C_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} + 2(h_{\lambda[\mu}S_{\nu]\sigma} + \tau_\lambda \tau_{[\mu}S_{\nu]\sigma}) - 2(h_{\sigma[\mu}S_{\nu]\lambda} + \tau_\sigma \tau_{[\mu}S_{\nu]\lambda}) \tag{B.1}$$

We require $C_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu}$ to vanish when contracting any two of its indices with either $h^{\mu\nu}$ or $\tau^\mu\tau^\nu$. It follows from Eq. (2.6) that

$$R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = h_{\lambda\epsilon} R^\epsilon{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} \tag{B.2}$$

It further follows from the symmetries that

$$h^{\lambda\mu}R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = R_{\sigma\nu} = 4\pi\rho\tau_\sigma\tau_\nu \tag{B.3}$$

$$\tau^\lambda\tau^\mu R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

$$h^{\sigma\nu}R_{\lambda\sigma\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{B.5}$$

These relations are the covariant description of the familiar result that the only non-vanishing contribution from the Newton-Cartan Riemann tensor is the ${R^i}_{0j0}$ [45].We can now use these relations to determine $S_{\nu\sigma}$ in Eq. B.1. Contracting Eq. (B.1) and using Eq. B.3, we have

$$0 = R_{\sigma\nu} + (n-3)S_{\nu\sigma} + \tau^\mu S_{\mu\sigma}\tau_\nu + \tau^\mu S_{\nu\mu}\tau_\sigma + h^{\lambda\mu}S_{\lambda\mu}(h_{\sigma\nu} + \tau_\sigma\tau_\nu) \tag{B.6}$$

Contracting Eq. (B.1) with $\tau^\lambda\tau^\mu$, we likewise have

$$0 = 0 + S_{\nu\sigma} - \tau^\mu S_{\mu\sigma}\tau_\nu - \tau^\mu S_{\nu\mu}\tau_\sigma + \tau^\lambda\tau^\mu S_{\lambda\mu}(h_{\sigma\nu} + \tau_\sigma\tau_\nu) \tag{B.7}$$

Eqs. (B.6) and (B.7) imply

$$R_{\sigma\nu} = (n-2)S_{\nu\sigma} + (h^{\lambda\mu} + \tau^\lambda\tau^\mu)S_{\lambda\mu}(h_{\sigma\nu} + \tau_\sigma\tau_\nu) \tag{B.8}$$

Contracting Eq. (B.8) with $h^{\sigma\nu}$ and $\tau^\sigma\tau^\nu$ we find

$$\tau^\nu\tau^\sigma S_{\nu\sigma} = -S_{\nu\sigma}h^{\nu\sigma}\frac{(2n-3)}{(n-1)} \tag{B.9}$$

$$R = (n-1)\tau^\nu\tau^\sigma S_{\nu\sigma} + S_{\nu\sigma}h^{\nu\sigma} \tag{B.10}$$

respectively. Eqs. (B.9) and (B.10) lead to the following result

$$S_{\nu\sigma}h^{\nu\sigma} = -\frac{R}{2(n-2)} \tag{B.11}$$

Likewise we can substitute $\tau^\nu\tau^\sigma S_{\nu\sigma}$ from Eq. (B.9) in Eq. (B.8) to find

$$R_{\sigma\nu} = (n-2)S_{\nu\sigma} - \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)}S_{\mu\lambda}h^{\mu\lambda}(h_{\sigma\nu} + \tau_\sigma\tau_\nu) \tag{B.12}$$

Finally, substituting Eq. (B.11) in Eq. (B.12) gives the result we seek

$$S_{\nu\sigma} = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R(h_{\sigma\nu} + \tau_\sigma\tau_\nu)\right) \tag{B.13}$$

References

[1] D. T. Son and M. Wingate, Annals Phys. **321**, 197 (2006) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2005.11.001 [cond-mat/0509786].

[2] D. T. Son, arXiv:1306.0638 [cond-mat.mes-hall].

[3] O. Andreev, M. Haack and S. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 064012 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.064012 [arXiv:1309.7231 [hep-th]].

[4] C. Wu and S. F. Wu, JHEP **1501**, 120 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2015)120 [arXiv:1409.1178 [hep-th]].

[5] A. Gromov and A. G. Abanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 016802 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.016802 [arXiv:1407.2908 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[6] M. Geracie, K. Prabhu and M. M. Roberts, J. Math. Phys. **56**, no. 10, 103505 (2015) doi:10.1063/1.4932967 [arXiv:1503.02682 [hep-th]].

[7] E. Cartan, Annales Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **40**, 325 (1923).

[8] R. Utiyama, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1597 (1956). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.101.1597

[9] M. Blagojevic, Bristol, UK: IOP (2002) 522 p

[10] R. Banerjee, A. Mitra and P. Mukherjee, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 369 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.09.004 [arXiv:1404.4491 [gr-qc]].

[11] R. Banerjee, A. Mitra and P. Mukherjee, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 8, 084021 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.084021 [arXiv:1501.05468 [gr-qc]].

[12] R. Banerjee, A. Mitra and P. Mukherjee, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 4, 045010 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/4/045010 [arXiv:1407.3617 [hep-th]].

[13] C. Duval and H. P. Kunzle, Rept. Math. Phys. **13**, 351 (1978). doi:10.1016/0034-4877(78)90063-0

[14] C. Duval and H. P. Kunzle, Gen. Rel. Grav. **16**, 333 (1984). doi:10.1007/BF00762191

[15] R. Banerjee and P. Mukherjee, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 8, 085020 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.085020 [arXiv:1509.05622 [gr-qc]].

[16] R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, S. Panda and M. de Roo, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 105011 (2011) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/28/10/105011 [arXiv:1011.1145 [hep-th]].

[17] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Hartong and J. Rosseel, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 13, 135017 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/13/135017 [arXiv:1409.5555 [hep-th]].

[18] M. H. Christensen, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers and B. Rollier, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 061901 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.061901 [arXiv:1311.4794 [hep-th]].

[19] J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis and N. A. Obers, Phys. Lett. B **746**, 318 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.05.010 [arXiv:1409.1519 [hep-th]].

[20] T. Brauner, S. Endlich, A. Monin and R. Penco, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 10, 105016 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.105016 [arXiv:1407.7730 [hep-th]].

[21] K. Jensen, arXiv:1408.6855 [hep-th].

[22] C. Duval and P. A. Horvathy, J. Phys. A **42**, 465206 (2009) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/46/465206 [arXiv:0904.0531 [math-ph]].

[23] E. H. Fradkin, E. H. Fradkin, "Field Theories of Condensed Matter Physics".

[24] O. Bergman and G. Lozano, Annals Phys. **229**, 416 (1994) doi:10.1006/aphy.1994.1013 [hep-th/9302116].

[25] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.1395

[26] S. C. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **6**, 25 (1992). doi:10.1142/S0217979292000037

[27] I. Fouxon and Y. Oz, JHEP **0903**, 120 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/120 [arXiv:0812.1266 [hep-th]].

[28] P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **49**, 435 (1977). doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.49.435

[29] M. Rangamani, S. F. Ross, D. T. Son and E. G. Thompson, JHEP **0901**, 075 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/01/075 [arXiv:0811.2049 [hep-th]].

[30] M. Geracie, K. Prabhu and M. M. Roberts, JHEP **1508**, 042 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2015)042 [arXiv:1503.02680 [hep-th]].

[31] M. Geracie, D. T. Son, C. Wu and S. F. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 045030 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.045030 [arXiv:1407.1252 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].

[32] R. Loganayagam, JHEP **0805**, 087 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/05/087 [arXiv:0801.3701 [hep-th]].

[33] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1559 (1982). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1559

[34] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 953 (1992) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3000 (1992)]. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.953

[35] G. Y. Cho, Y. You and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 115139 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.90.115139 [arXiv:1406.2700 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[36] V. Bargmann, Annals Math. **59**, 1 (1954). doi:10.2307/1969831

[37] C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. D **5**, 377 (1972). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.5.377

[38] S. A. Hartnoll, J. Polchinski, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, JHEP **1004**, 120 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2010)120 [arXiv:0912.1061 [hep-th]].

[39] A. Bagchi and R. Gopakumar, JHEP **0907**, 037 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/07/037 [arXiv:0902.1385 [hep-th]].

[40] G. Dautcourt: "Die Newtonske Gravitationstheorie als Strenger Grenzfall der Allgemeinen Relativitätheorie", Acta Phys. Pol. **25**, 5, 637, (1964).

[41] O. Bergman, "Nonrelativistic Conformal Symmetry in 2+1 Dimensional Field Theory", (1994).

[42] S. C. Zhang, T. H. Hansson and S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 82 (1988). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.82

[43] Stone M. (1990), Superfluid dynamics of the fractional quantum Hall state, Phys.Rev.B, 42, 212.

[44] A. O. Barvinsky, JCAP **1401**, 014 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/01/014 [arXiv:1311.3111 [hep-th]].

[45] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, San Francisco 1973, 1279p